

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:037254



CWP-7472-2025

Date of Decision:19.03.2025

**PARAMJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER**

**...Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate for  
Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioners.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of this Court in CWP-22422-2010, titled as Anil Kumar and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 16.05.2012, which has already attained finality upto Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India, hence, respondents were liable to re-fix the salary of the petitioner in the pay-scale of Rs. 5,000-8100/- w.e.f. 01.01.1996, while working on the post of Junior Assistant.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the said grievance, as raised in the present petition, has already been raised in the legal notice dated 08.05.2018, Annexure P-8, and in the representation dated 18.07.2024, Annexure P-9, but the same are still pending consideration with the respondents, and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P. S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-

State and submits that in case, legal notice dated 08.05.2018, Annexure P-8, and the representation dated 18.07.2024, Annexure P-9, have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to them within a further period of four weeks and otherwise due reason for not accepting the claim will be mentioned for the information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**19.03.2025**

*kv*

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable : Yes/No*