



CRM-M-42911-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42911-2025

Reserved on: 12th September, 2025Pronounced on: 17th September, 2025

Subegh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J :-

The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner seeking grant of regular bail in case bearing DDR No. 20 dated 18.03.2023 as a cross case as arising out of FIR No. 26 dated 14.03.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 305, 324(4), 351, 191(3) and 190 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') at Police Station Division No.3, District Ludhiana.

2. The aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of statement recorded by the Subegh Singh i.e. the present petitioner alleging that one Karan owed a sum of Rs.1500/- to him. On 13.03.2025, he had called Karan in his office and asked him to return his money. Karan had left office by saying that he would return his money the same day. He alleged that thereafter, the said Karan accompanied by the co-accused had opened an



assault upon him and had caused injuries. In self defence, he had also taken his kirpan. He was rescued by his driver. On his statement the aforementioned FIR was registered. Then on 18.03.2025, Harnek Singh recorded his statement alleging that the petitioner along with the persons named in the DDR had caused injuries to him and his companions. The aforementioned DDR No. 20 was entered as a cross case. The petitioner was arrested on 01.07.2025. He suffered a disclosure statement and got recovered a sword used by him at the time of occurrence. Investigation now stands completed.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is delay of five days in lodging of the DDR. In fact, the DDR had been entered as a counter blast to the FIR lodged on his statement. He was not the aggressor and it was the members of the complainant party, who had initiated the occurrence. He has been in custody since long. He has clean antecedents. The trial would take considerable time to conclude. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. It is, therefore, urged that he deserves to be released on bail.

4. Status report has been filed. It is argued by learned State counsel that there are serious and specific allegations against the petitioner who had caused serious injuries to the members of complainant party. There are chances of his intimidating the witnesses or absconding, if extended benefit of bail. It is, therefore, urged that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length.



6. The petitioner along with the co-accused is alleged to have voluntarily caused simple as well as grievous injuries to the victim. The subject offences are triable by Magistrate. He has been in custody since 03.07.2025. Investigation stands concluded. Trial will obviously take time to conclude. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. It is well settled proposition of law that bail is the rule and jail is an exception. Keeping in view the above discussed fact and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petition deserves to be allowed. Hence, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. It is, however, clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Since the main petition has been allowed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

17th September , 2025

Parveen Sharma

1. *Whether speaking/ reasoned*

: *Yes / No*

2. *Whether reportable*

: *Yes / No*