



CRM-M-44488-2024 (O&M)

-1-

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44488-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 17.09.2025

SANJAY KUMAR ALIAS GOURAV

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**CRM-24667-2025**

1. Learned counsel for applicant/petitioner has filed an application for placing on record copy of paperbook of CRM-M-16516-2025 as Annexure A-1, copy of High Court order dated 27.03.2025 as Annexure A-2 with permission to file its typed copy.
2. Learned counsel for the State submits that he has no objection to the application being allowed.
3. For the reasons mentioned in the application and no objection suffered by State counsel, application is allowed and accompanied documents (Annexures A-1 and A-2) are taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

Main case

1. Petitioner Sanjay Kumar @ Gourav has filed instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.60 dated 27.05.2024 under Sections 354, 324, 323, 341, 427, 148 and 149 IPC



registered at Police Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar.

2. As per facts of case, prosecutrix 'MK' gave her statement that on 25.05.2024 at about 9 am her son Rikhi was returning home on his motorcycle bearing No.PB08-AV-6419 and when he was ahead of the street approaching to their house, then from the side of Alamgir village, three vehicles i.e. one Thar No.PB08-DM-2400, Ford Figgo No.PB-35N-3591 and alto car number not readable, came in which Gourav, Ravneet (as per vernacular of FIR 'Ranjit'), Happy, Aman and Ravi holding swords and khandas in their hands and Sipe was empty handed. All alighted from their vehicles and were approaching towards their house and blocked the passage. Her son left motorcycle in the street and run backwards. They damaged the motorcycle of her son and raised lalkaras. Gourav run towards her and slapped on her face and he had also torn her trouser. Ranjit gave khanda blow which hit on the left side of her forehead. Aman and Ravi gave swords blow which hit on her right elbow. Happy gave blow from reverse side of the khanda which hit on her head. Sipe also gave fist blows on her nose and face. Her daughter-in-laws Lovepreet Kaur and Sumanpreet Kaur came forward to rescue her. On this, they hurled abuses and the assailants left the spot on their vehicles. Her son Sunny Kumar took her to Civil Hospital Kala Bakra where she remained under treatment. Reason behind this occurrence was altercation which had taken place on the Lohri function at Chauhan Palace, Panchranga which was organized in the month of January, 2024. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that he was granted interim bail by the Coordinate Bench vide order 09.09.2024 and in



pursuance of this, he has already joined the investigation. Petitioner has fully cooperated with the investigating agency. Now matter is also compromised and both the parties have filed petition seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise bearing CRM-M-16516-2025. Copy of order dated 27.03.2025 is Annexure A-2. Petitioner will abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, interim relief granted in his favour may kindly be confirmed.

4. Status report already filed. It is confirmed that petitioner joined investigation on 14.11.2024 and as per instructions received from Inspector Rajesh Kumar, he is not required for further investigation. However, present petitioner is involved in five other FIRs detailed in para No.16 -'C' of the status report.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Petitioner was granted interim bail by the Coordinate Bench and in pursuance of this, he has joined investigation. As per Inspector Rajesh Kumar, petitioner is not required for further investigation. It cannot be ignored that parties have compromised and they have filed petition seeking quashing of FIR as referred above. No purpose would be served by sending him behind the bars. Therefore, interim bail already granted vide order dated 09.09.2024 stands confirmed subject to the same terms and conditions.

6. Petition is, accordingly, allowed.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

17.09.2025

Snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No