



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3043-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 03.04.2025**

Jagjeet Singh

...Petitioner

*Versus*

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present:- Ms. Kusum Raj, Advocate for  
Mr. ADS Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Chahal, AAG, Punjab for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNSS'*) for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.111 dated 16.10.2024 (P-1), under Sections 333, 115(2), 351(2), 191(3) & 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNS'*) [Sections 117(2) & 118(2) of the BNS added later on vide DDR No.18 dated 15.11.2024 (P-2)], registered at Police Station Khilchian, District Amritsar.



- (2) Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused caused grievous injuries on the person of *de facto* complainant with sharp edged weapons.
- (3) Learned Counsel contends that petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court, vide order dated 20.01.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined the investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.
- (4) The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police official concerned.
- (5) Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.
- (6) It transpires that petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court, vide order dated 20.01.2025 and the same reads as under:-

*“Contends inter alia that co-accused namely Sahib Singh has already been granted interim bail by this Court on 13.01.2025.*

*Notice of motion.*

*At this stage, Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, learned AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file response in the matter.*

*Posted for 18.02.2025.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation and till the next date of hearing, his arrest shall remain stayed.*

*To be heard along with CRM-M-953-2025.”*



(7) It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

(8) In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 20.01.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(9) It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

(10) The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

(11) It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

3<sup>rd</sup> April, 2025  
Gagan

( MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU )  
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>