



117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6671 of 2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 19.09.2025

PARAMJIT SINGH DUGGAL @ BITTU AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

Vs.

M/S RAJ SHREE INFRATECH PVT. LTD AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal & Ms. Rajinder Kaur, Advocates,
for the petitioners.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present Civil Revision Petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, is for setting aside the impugned order dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-3), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Payal, in Civil Suit No. 164 of 2017, titled *Paramjeet Singh Duggal alias Bittu and another vs. M/s Raj Shree Infratech Pvt. Ltd. and others*, whereby the cross-examination of petitioner No. 1-plaintiff, Paramjeet Singh Duggal alias Bittu (PW-25), was closed, ignoring the contention of his counsel that he could not remain present in Court on 10.09.2025 due to a medical emergency.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 1-plaintiff, Paramjeet Singh Duggal alias Bittu (PW-25), could not appear before the lower Court on 10.09.2025 due to medical problem. He has drawn the attention of this Court to various medical records of



petitioner No. 1, dated 21.01.2022, 30.03.2025, 10.09.2025 and 16.07.2015 (Annexures P-4 to P-7, respectively).

2.1 He further prays that only one effective opportunity may be granted to petitioner No. 1-plaintiff (PW-25) to conclude his entire evidence.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioners and perused the paper-book.

4. In view of the order proposed to be passed, notice is not being issued to the respondents, as it would delay the proceedings besides entailing additional expense to them.

5. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner No. 1-plaintiff, Paramjeet Singh Duggal alias Bittu (PW-25), could not appear before the learned lower Court on 10.09.2025 due to his medical problem, and in the interest of justice, it is appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to him to conclude his entire evidence. However, this Court deems it fit that the same should be allowed subject to costs.

6. Consequently, the present petition is allowed, subject to costs of ₹5,000/-, to be deposited by petitioner No. 1 within a period of ten days from today in the following account. The receipt of deposit shall thereafter be produced before the learned lower Court:

(i) Account Name: Punjab State Legal Services Authority –
Disaster Relief Fund

(ii) Account No: 44426937384

(iii) IFSC Code: SBIN0014656

(iv) Bank Name: State Bank of India, Sector 68, SAS Nagar



7. Subject to compliance of the above, the impugned order dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-3), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Payal, is hereby set aside. Petitioner No. 1-plaintiff, Paramjeet Singh Duggal alias Bittu (PW-25), is directed to appear before the learned lower Court on the date fixed, whereupon the said Court shall grant him one effective opportunity to conclude his entire evidence on the date to be fixed by it.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025
nitin

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No