



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

**CWP-18460-1997 (O&M)
Decided on :03.07.2025**

MANAGING DIRECTOR SPINFED PUNJAB, CHANDIGARH AND
ANR . . .Petitioners

Versus

PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BHATINDA & OTHERS.

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Puneet Kansal, Advocate for the petitioners.
(appeared through virtual mode)

Mr. H. S. Lalli, Advocate for respondent No. 2.
(appeared through virtual mode)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for both the parties are *ad-idem* to the fact that the present petition was filed in the year 1997 and thereafter, the petitioner-company has already been liquidated and as on now, no assets of the petitioner -company are available to satisfy the claim of respondent-workman, admissible under the impugned award 19.11.1997 (Annexure P-13) passed by the labour Court, even if the writ petition is dismissed.

2. Keeping in view the totality of circumstances which have come into being after the filing of the present petition, the claim being raised by the respondent-workman on the basis of the reference has been rendered infructuous and no substantial relief can be granted to the respondent-workman even if the impugned award challenged is ultimately upheld.



CWP-18460-1997 (O&M)

-2-

3. Keeping in view the above, **the present petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation** that no further orders are called for in the facts and circumstances of the present case as noted herein before and the same is disposed of as such.

4. However, liberty is granted to the respondent-workman to revive the present petition, in case, any of the assets of the petitioner-company is still available so as to satisfy the claim in the impugned award 19.11.1997 (Annexure P-13) in case, the writ petition is decided on merit further so as to uphold the impugned award.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

03.07.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No