



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-10022-2025
DECIDED ON: 16.09.2025**

ABHISHEK SAHOTA @ SUKHA**....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. R.K. Adia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Abhishek Sahota @ Sukha	129	18.12.2024	115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023	Mehtiana	Hoshiarpur

2. On 20.02.2025 following order was passed:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.129 dated 18.12.2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case at the instance of the complainant who is a known criminal. It is further submitted that even otherwise, only simple injuries caused with blunt weapon are attributed to the present petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation with the police and is already granted interim bail in one another case lodged at the instance of the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that the present petitioner actively participated



along with co-accused Kamal and both of them caused injuries to the complainant and that the petitioner is required by the police for purpose of proper investigation. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that only simple injuries caused with wooden danda are attributed to the present petitioner.

Now be listed on 13.05.2025.

In view of the fact that only simple injuries caused with blunt weapon are ascribed to the present petitioner, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is to be released on interim bail by the investigating officer/arresting officer to his own satisfaction till the next date fixed in this case. The petitioner is also to abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 20.02.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 26.02.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 20.02.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

16.09.2025

mahima

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*