245

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CACP-14-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31st July, 2025

ANAND MOHAN SHARAN, IAS, ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETAR TO GOVERNMENT OF HARYANA, ENVIRONMENT, FOREST AND WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT HARYANA

……..Appellant(s)

V/s.

ROSHAN LAL ***……Respondent(s)***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana, with
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Senior DAG, Haryana
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana for the appellants.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate for the respondent.

****

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. This Contempt Appeal arises out of an order dated 19.02.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge holding the appellant is guilty of willful disobedience of an order passed by this Court on 05.01.2023 in RSA No.4275 of 1999.

2. Vide order dated 05.01.2023, this Court had modified the orders passed by the Courts below with a direction to consider the claim of respondent for promotion to the next higher rank from the post of Mali with seniority maintained and appropriate order be passed within a period of three months.

3. It transpires that for disobedience of the said order, contempt proceedings were initiated. During the proceedings of contempt, an order has since been passed by the appellant granting benefit of notional promotion to the respondent on the post of Forest Guard w.e.f. 18.09.1991.

SURESH KUMAR
2025.08.01 18:35
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

The grievance before the learned Single Judge, in essence, was that the benefits in terms of order dated 15.01.2025 has also not been extended.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant makes a statement before the Court that all benefits, in terms of order dated 15.01.2025, with regard to re-determination of pension as well as release of benefits in terms thereof, would be worked out and extended to the respondent within a period of two months from today. He further states that no actual benefit would be granted to the respondent for the service period but his pension will be re-calculated and the difference of pension along with arrears etc. would be paid to him.

5. In view of the statement so made by the learned counsel for the appellant, learned counsel for the respondent fairly states that he does not intend to press the contempt petition. Liberty otherwise stands reserved to the respondent to challenge the order dated 15.01.2025, if he is so advised.

6. The contempt appeal is accordingly ***disposed of*** in the aforesaid terms.

7. All pending applications, if any, in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[KULDEEP TIWARI]
JUDGE

July 31, 2025
Ess Kay

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	*Yes*	/	*No*
Whether Reportable	:	*Yes*	/	*No*

SURESH KUMAR
2025.08.01 18:35
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document