



CRM-M-32064-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

CRM-M-32064-2025
Decided on: 10.07.2025

Baljit Singh alias Nonu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Manjot Gujral, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Baljit Singh alias Nonu	06	25.01.2025	109, 115(2), 126(2), 324, 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS	Shambu	Patiala

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the initial investigation conducted by the police, the petitioner was found innocent; however, subsequently, he was again implicated as an accused in the case.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel fairly informs this Court that the offence under Section 109 of the BNS has now been deleted, though the other offences alleged in the case still stand.

**CRM-M-32064-2025****2**

4. In view of the above, this Court does not find any substantial reason to subject the petitioner to custodial interrogation. The petitioner is therefore directed to join the investigation within two weeks from today. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

It is further made clear that if in future petitioner is found indulged in similar kind of activities, prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in the present case.

5. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

July 10, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**