

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-23785-2025

Date of Decision : August 19, 2025

SHARANJEET KAUR

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Ms./Mrs. Kiran Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the respondents No.3 to 5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, prayer is made for quashing the rejection letter dated 25.05.2023 (Annexure P-13), whereby the petitioner's claim for correction in her date of birth has been rejected by the respondent No.5, on account of her not being found eligible for the said correction as per the guidelines adopted by the Punjab School Education Board.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, by placing reliance upon various document, including the petitioner's birth certificate issued by the Registrar concerned, submits that the petitioner's case for correction in her date of birth has to be considered in the light of the guidelines (Annexure P-10) adopted by the Punjab School Education Board. However, despite making numerous representations in this regard,

the needful has not been done by the Punjab School Education Board.

3. Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, who represents the respondents No.3 to 5- Punjab School Education Board, and is in receipt of advance notice, informs this Court that they are in the process of deciding the petitioner's representation (Annexure P-12) by passing a speaking order. He also submits that the petitioner would be given due opportunity of hearing before passing the speaking order on her representation.

4. In view of the above, this Court deems it appropriate to, at this stage, without going into legality of the impugned rejection letter, dispose of the instant writ petition with directions upon the respondent(s)- Punjab School Education Board to pass the speaking order on the petitioner's representation (Annexure P-12), within two months from today, by giving her opportunity of hearing. Moreover, liberty is also reserved to the petitioner to, in case she fetches any grievance from the speaking order, take the apposite legal recourse for redressal of her grievance.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

August 19, 2025
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No