

2025:PHHC:120833

[106] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-4528-2025

Date of Decision : 05.09.2025

Anita Rani and another ...Petitioners

versus

Gurmeet Singh, Senior Superintendent
of Police, Fazilka ...Respondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Davinder Singh Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for violating order dated 21.07.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court, in CRWP-7777-2025.

[2] Learned State Counsel submits that a speaking order dated 02.09.2025 has been passed in compliance with the directions issued by the Writ Court. The operative portion of the said order reads as under:-

“ In view of the above report submitted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Divisional Jalalabad, the representation dated 16.07.2025 (Annexure P-5), annexed with the petition by the petitioners, which has been filed by undersigned and the aforesaid order dated 21.07.2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court stands duly complied with. However, directions have been issued to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Jalalabad as well as SHO P.S.

Sadar, Jalalabad for providing them protection, whenever they will approach to them in future as per law as well as the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Jalalabad and SHO P.S. Sadar Jalalabad are further directed to ensure regular Beat Patrolling/Mobile Patrolling in the residential and occupational area of petitioner Inderjeet Singh, so that to ensure their safety to prevent any potential threat or intimidation thereby alleviating their senses of fear. Apart from this, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Fazilka has been further directed to resolve dispute/issue of shop of the petitioner raised by the petitioner by moving application as Annexure P-2 and to take all necessary steps in a effective manner as per law, so that the petitioner grievances are addressed, in a timely and lawful way. This speaking order is passed accordingly.”

[3] Copy of speaking order dated 02.09.2025 has been supplied to the counsel representing the petitioners.

[4] In view of the stand taken by the respondent-State, no further orders are required to be passed.

[5] **Dismissed.**

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

05.09.2025
'R. Sharma'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*