



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10225-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision:27.02.2025

Deepak

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present:- Mr. Aman Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chinna, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Devender Kumar, Advocate for complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.638 dated 08.12.2019 under Sections 148/149/323/498-A of IPC (Sections 307 and 302 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Hodal, District Palwal.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been in custody for 05 years 02 months and 01 day. He submitted that a similarly situated co-accused, namely Ramesh, who is at parity with the present petitioner, has already been extended the benefit of regular bail by this Court on 03.02.2025 vide Annexure P-5 on the ground of long custody. He further submitted that, so far as the role of the present petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have inflicted stick blows on the head of deceased. He submitted that, considering the parity aspect and the long custody of the petitioner, he may be considered for the grant



of regular bail

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submitted that, insofar as the custody of the petitioner is concerned, the same is correct and so far as the parity aspect with the aforesaid co-accused Ramesh, is concerned, he does not dispute the same.

4. After hearing learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the view that considering the custody aspect of the petitioner, which is 5 years, 2 months and 1 day, and the fact that the petitioner is directly at parity with the co-accused, namely Ramesh, who has been granted the benefit of regular bail by this Court, the present petitioner also deserves the concession of regular bail.

5. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be released on regular bail, if not required in any other case, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

6. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

**27.02.2025**

*shweta*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No