



**CRM-M-29432-2025 and CRM-M-31258-2025**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**(207/two cases)**

**CRM-M-29432-2025**

Date of Decision:- 08.09.2025

Harkamaljit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CRM-M-31258-2025**

Sukhwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Japjot Singh AAG, Punjab.

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petitions seek the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner(s) in FIR No. 30 dated 31.03.2025, registered under Sections 115, 332(c), 74, 61, 193(3), and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station City Banga, District SBS Nagar, Mohali.

2. Learned counsel for the State submits that the arrest of the petitioner(s) was stayed vide order dated 26.05.2025 by the Coordinate Bench of this Court. However, vide order dated 02.07.2025, the petitioner(s) was again granted one more opportunity to join the

**CRM-M-29432-2025 and CRM-M-31258-2025**

investigation. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner(s) have joined the investigation, however, they are not cooperating in the recovery of the weapon allegedly used in the offence.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the allegations are vague and evasive, and the alleged weapons are stated to be a kirch and a stick (*danda*).

4. In view of the above, the present petitions stand disposed of and the order, dated 26.05.2025 and 02.07.2025 are made absolute, at this stage. However, the petitioner(s) shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon by the Investigating Agency for the recovery of the said weapon and shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023.

5. It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case. It is further made clear that, in the event the petitioner(s) is found involved in any such activity again, the concession granted herein shall automatically stand vacated.

6. This order shall not be construed as granting parity to any other co-accused.

7. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of abovementioned connected case.

**September 08, 2025**

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No

**(ALOK JAIN)  
JUDGE**