

2025:PHHC:019919



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

207

**RA-CW-337-2017 IN
CWP-23691-2016
Date of Decision: 11.02.2025.**

TINA DEVI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, Advocate,
**(Through Video Conference) and
Mr. Himanshu Garg, Advocate,**
for petitioner No.2/review applicant.

Ms. Monika Khatri, Advocate, for
Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioner No.1.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

2025:PHHC:019919



VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant review application has been filed by petitioner No.2/review applicant for seeking review/recall of order dated 25.04.2017 (Annexure A-1) vide which the main writ petition was disposed of.

2 Undisputedly, the order dated 29.07.2016 whereby the respondent-Department had passed the speaking order after grant of opportunity of hearing to the petitioner No.2/review applicant herein, has not been challenged.

3 Learned counsel for petitioner No.1, however, takes this Court to the order dated 06.02.2020 whereby the review applicant was confronted with the order dated 29.07.2016 and counsel for the review applicant had sought time to seek instructions but the needful has not been done.

4 It is also evident from a perusal of the order dated 29.07.2016 that the review applicant Smt. Batheri Devi was duly heard before passing of the said order. The contention that the said order was passed without appreciating the orders that had been passed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal as well as by this Court in FAO-2103-2008, cannot be gone into, in the absence of any challenge raised to the said order. The needful having not been done, I do not find that this argument can be taken into consideration. The present review application is accordingly dismissed at this stage.

2025:PHHC:019919



5 The petitioner No.2/review-applicant is, however, at liberty to take recourse to remedies available to her in accordance with law against the order dated 29.07.2016.

6 The period during which the present review application has remained pending before this Court shall be taken into consideration while considering the plea of limitation.

February 11, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*