





CWP-29283-2025

-2-

years should not be transferred.

3. The learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that the impugned transfer order vide which the petitioner was transferred, has been cancelled on 29.09.2025. However, a discrepancy has arisen on account of issuance of a subsequent order on 29.09.2025 and he could not controvert the fact that the petitioner is due to superannuate on 31.01.2026 and only less than four months remained in her service period and Clause 2 of the Policy (Annexure P-1) clearly stipulates that the employees due for retirement within two years could not be transferred.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record of the case with their able assistance.

5. In the wake of the stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to maintain the *status quo* with regard to the place of posting of the petitioner as it exists before issuance of the impugned transfer order dated 15.09.2025 (Annexure P-3).

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.10.2025**

*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No