



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

COCP-4678-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.09.2025

Amrik Singh & others

...Petitioners

V/s

Sh. Gurpreet Singh Khaira and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Dharminder Singh Randhawa, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

CWP-26742-2018 was disposed of on 28.08.2024:-

“1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondent-authorities to count the adhoc/daily wages services rendered by the petitioners from the date of recruitment till the date of regularization of their services for the purpose of pension and other consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present case is squarely covered by the ratio of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-2501-2013 titled as ‘Rama Shankar and others Vs. State of Punjab and others’ decided on 27.08.2015.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that the claim of the petitioners for counting their adhoc/daily wage services shall be considered by respondent No.3.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner within a period of 03 months from today.”

2. In compliance thereof, speaking order dated 22.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) was passed as per which, the period of *ad hoc*/daily wage service rendered by the petitioner from the date of recruitment till the date of



regularization has been recommended to be counted for the purposes of pension and other consequential benefits.

3. Learned counsel for the State, as also learned counsel representing respondent No.2, on instructions, submit that after speaking order dated 22.11.2024 having been passed, certain formalities have to be carried out, as has been mentioned in the speaking order and now the matter is pending for the approval of the House in terms of resolution passed on 30.05.2025.

4. Learned counsel representing respondent No.2 submits that after completing the requisite formalities, final sanction shall be granted within a period of six weeks from today.

5. In view of the statement given by learned counsel representing respondent No.2, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no grievance subsists, at this stage.

6. That being so, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

7. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of. Rule is discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

September 12, 2025
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No