

2025:PHHC:094568



134

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 3404 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.07.2025

Alamgir Khan

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sukhwinder Singh Tiwana, BDPO-1 (Block Development &
Panchayat Officer), Patiala

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Naresh Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner (through video-conferencing).

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

As per learned counsel for the petitioner, petition under Section 7 of The Punjab Village Common Land (Regulation) Act, 1961 was preferred at the instance of Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Patiala, wherein order of eviction order was passed.

[2] In pursuance thereof, learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions from the respondent-Sukhwinder Singh Tiwana, BDPO, Patiala, submits that maturity of possession in terms of eviction order stands restored in favour of Gram Panchayat; thus, no cause survives in the present petition.

[3] In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition, the same is **disposed off** accordingly.

[4] In case the petitioner feels aggrieved, he shall be at liberty to avail remedy as per law.

[5] Rule stands discharged.

[6] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

July 29, 2025

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>