

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:094765



(211)

CRM-M-30768-2025
Decided on : 29.07.2025

Mangal SinghPetitioner(s)
Versus
State of PunjabRespondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.124 dated 18.12.2023 registered for offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 325, 326, 506, 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Verowal, District Tarn Taran; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 03.07.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Status report dated 02.07.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Riputapan Singh Sandhu, PPS, Superintendent of Police-SDPO (City) Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Reiterating the submissions made on 29.05.2025; learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, though a grievance injury is attributed to the petitioner but the case in hand is one of version and cross-versioin wherein DDR stands registered against the complainant-side (of the instant FIR) as

well & the petitioner is willing to join the investigation and cooperate therein.

Adjourned to 29.07.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 07.07.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation, but his custodial interrogation for recovery of the weapon used for committing the offence.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is being sought only for the recovery of the weapon alleged to have been used for committing the offence, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 03.07.2025.
5. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 03.07.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

July 29, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No