



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

**CRWP-10594-2025
Date of decision: 29.09.2025**

LOVEPREET SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Ms. Komal Sohi, Advocate for
Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioners namely Lovepreet Singh and Heena Yadav have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents.

It is averred in the petition that the petitioners are major and are staying together. However, their relationship is not acceptable to the private respondents and as a result thereof, the private respondents are threatening the petitioners. A representation dated 19.09.2025 (Annexures P-3) against the threat extended at the hands of the private respondents already stand submitted by the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana (Rural).



The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of them being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

As such, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Police Station-Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 19.09.2025 (Annexures P-3) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Police Station-Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 29, 2025

Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No