

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:136948



223

CRM-M-45381-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2025.

Sagar Singh and another

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Pankaj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Parambir Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Arish Bhalla, Advocate for the complainant.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.81 dated 04.06.2025, under Sections 333, 305(a), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS, registered at Police Station Boha, District Mansa.

As per prosecution case, complainant Lakhwinder Singh made a statement that on 03.06.2025, a quarrel took place between his mother and one Parneet Kaur (wife of the petitioner Sagar Singh), due to which the complainant's mother along with her brother Baljeet Singh went to the Police Station. In the meantime, the petitioners along with some unknown persons entered his house armed with weapons and caused damage to household articles and committed theft of Rs.50,000/- cash and 1 ½ tolas of

gold ornaments. Hence, the present FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case and they are not connected with the offence in any manner. The present FIR has been registered due to some previous grudge and rivalry between the complainant's family and the petitioner's family. He prayed that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required and they are ready and willing to join the investigation and the present petition be allowed.

Learned State counsel has opposed the petition and submitted that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required to recover stolen cash and gold ornaments and as such they are not entitled to the concession of bail.

Heard.

As per the allegations, the petitioners along with some unknown persons entered the house of the complainant armed with weapons and caused damage to household articles, committed theft of Rs.50,000/- cash and 1 ½ tolas of gold ornaments. However, it is a no injury case. No specific role has been attributed to the petitioners. No other criminal case has been found to be registered against the petitioners. The custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any purpose and no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions, as provided under Section 482(2) of BNS. It will be open for

the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail application.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

29.09.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No