



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

148

CWP-8619-2025

Date of Decision: 26.03.2025

Sanjeet Sharma

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Shivam Sharma, Ms. Shruti Garg,
and Mr. Karan Sirohi, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar and Mr. Karanvir Singh, Advocates,
for U.T., Chandigarh.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that the petitioner has alternative remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) which is located at Chandigarh. Thus, petitioner may be relegated to CAT at Chandigarh.

2. Faced with this, Mr. Shivam Sharma expressed his inability to controvert the fact that petitioner has alternative remedy to approach CAT, Chandigarh.

3 In the wake of statement of both sides, instant petition stands dismissed with liberty to approach CAT, Chandigarh.

26.03.2025

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

shivani

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No