



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.123

CWP No.4755 of 2025
Date of Decision: 20.02.2025

Bala Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 03.12.2021, Annexure P-6, whereby the petitioner's claim for regularisation from the date her junior has been regularised, has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel contends that at the time of filing earlier representation, which was decided vide impugned order, dated 03.12.2021, the petitioner inadvertently could not cite the case of similarly situated employee/Satpal Singh, who had been appointed as part-time employee after the petitioner on 03.01.2001, and has been regularised on Class IV Post in district Bhiwani under the Government Policy of 2003, as is evident from the RTI information dated 27.08.2024, Annexure P-8. He, therefore, submits that the petitioner may be granted another opportunity to make a fresh representation before Director General Secondary Education, in the light of the facts aforementioned, which may be decided expeditiously.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rohit Arya, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and submits that in case any such



representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be decided expeditiously.

5. In view thereof, without going into the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the Director General Secondary Education within two weeks from today. In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be decided by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law, within three months of receiving the representation.

6. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

20.02.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No