

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP-16743-2022

Date of Decision : April 01, 2025

RAJESH CHOPRA

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana
for the respondents No.1, and, 4 to 8.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab
for the respondents No.2 and 9.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. Standing Counsel with
Mr. Sachin Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the respondent No.3-U.T. Chandigarh.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer enclosed in the present writ petition appertains to issuance of directions upon the official respondents to comply with the instructions/rule/guidelines (Annexures P-14 to P-17), which encapsulate the manner of dealing with anonymous and pseudonymous complaints.

2. Succinctly stated; the averments made in the present writ petition are that, a number of anonymous complaints have been filed against the petitioner in different police stations within the States of Haryana and Punjab. Merely on the basis of such anonymous complaints, the petitioner has been subjected to harassment inasmuch as he has been called to police stations time and again for inquiry.

3. The above caused pain to the petitioner and propelled him to institute the present writ petition by enclosing therein prayer for issuance of directions upon the official respondents to, without identifying the complaints in future, not entertain the same, as the same causes unnecessary harassment to him.

4. Consequent upon issuance of notice upon the present writ petition, separate replies were furnished on behalf of the States of Haryana and Punjab. It has been voiced in these replies that, all the anonymous complaints, as made against the petitioner, have been inquired into and ordered to be filed.

5. Moreover, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.3 also submits that, no complaint against the petitioner is pending in any of the police stations within the U.T. Chandigarh.

6. In view of the above, no direction is required to be passed, as the grievance of the petitioner already stands redressed. Hence, the present writ petition is closed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to, in case he fetches any grievance in future alike the one sketched in the present writ petition, approach the appropriate authority/ forum for redressal thereof.

7. **Disposed of accordingly.**

April 01, 2025
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No