



CRM-M-40450-2025 1

108 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40450-2025
Date of decision: 29.07.2025**

VINAY KAPOOR @ VINAY

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 472 dated 04.07.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 118(2) BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Hansi City, District Hisar Haryana.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the present petitioner along with co-accused has inflicted grievous injuries upon the complainant and the petitioner inflicted injury with sharp edged weapon on the head of the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that only simple injury with sharp edged weapon has been shown in the MLR. The co-accused namely Govind has already been arrested by the police and recovery of an axe has been effected from him. There was nothing to connect the present petitioner with the alleged offence. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

4. Notice of motion.



CRM-M-40450-2025 2

5. Mr. Tapan Masta, Addl. Advocate General accepts notice on behalf for the respondent-State. He has opposed the prayer for concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that offences attributed to the petitioner are serious in nature.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

7. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. He has caused injury with the sharp edged weapon on the head of the complainant and the said injury is stated to be declared grievous in nature. At the time of grant of anticipatory bail, the Court is required to consider factors such as nature and gravity of the offence, the role attributed to the petitioner. Keeping in view the peculiar facts of the case, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

29.07.2025

renu

**(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No