

2025:PHHC:083868-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-LP-39-2025 (O&M) in  
LPA-1562-2023  
Date of Decision: 11.07.2025**

**CHARANJIT KAUR** ..... Appellant-Applicant(s)  
**Versus**  
**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS** ..... Respondent(s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for applicant-appellant.

Mr. R.S. Pandher, Additional AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**LISA GILL, J.**

1. Applicant/appellant seeks review of order dated 03.12.2024 whereby LPA No.1562 of 2023, filed by her has been dismissed.

2. An argument raised by learned senior counsel for seeking review of order is that the Court has not taken into consideration the fact that copy of complaint was never supplied to the applicant. However, it is candidly conceded that a written complaint had never been given by complainant, his statement had been recorded and a copy of said statement had been duly handed over to applicant-petitioner. Furthermore, the averment that audio recording has not been supplied, is also falsified by the stand of appellant herself. Reference is made to a specific order of Inquiry Officer in ground no.4 of the appeal, pursuant to which, it is stated that voice recording was given though, paradoxically it is mentioned in the next line that audio recording was not given. Further argument raised by learned counsel that there is no matching of the

voice recording with that of appellant is clearly an argument devoid of any merit as has already been dealt with in order dated 03.12.2024, keeping in view the fact that applicant/appellant specifically refused to give her voice samples.

3. No ground for review of order dated 03.12.2024 is made out as learned counsel for applicant-appellant is unable to point out any error apparent on the face of it or any other ground which calls for review. Learned counsel, in fact seeks to re-argue the matter in the garb of this application seeking review. It is a settled position that Court's jurisdiction to review its own judgment is limited and has to be exercised within the framework of Section 114 read with Order 47 of Civil Procedure Code. Gainful reference in this respect can be made to judgments of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in *Parsion Devi Vs. Sumitri Devi, 1997(8) SCC 715, Asharafi Devi through LRs and others Vs. State of U.P. and others, 2019 (5) SCC 86* and *Shri Ram Sahu through LRs and others Vs. Vinod Kumar Rawat and others, 2021(13) SCC 1*.

4. No other argument has been addressed.

5. Review application is accordingly dismissed.

**(LISA GILL)  
JUDGE**

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)  
JUDGE**

**11.07.2025**

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No