



CM-15907-CII-2025 in/and  
CR-5650-2022

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(291)

CM-15907-CII-2025 in/and  
CR-5650-2022

Date of Decision: - 15.09.2025

Hukmi @ Okmi

...Petitioner

Versus

Dhani Ram and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Prerana Yadav, Advocate  
for Mr. Gourav Verma, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CM-15907-CII-2025

1. Present application has been filed under Section 151 CPC read with Order 9 Rule 9 CPC for restoration of the above-mentioned petition.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. The order dated 04.08.2025 is recalled and the main revision petition is restored to its original number.

CR-5650-2022

1. Present civil revision petition has been filed under Article



**CM-15907-CII-2025 in/and  
CR-5650-2022**

-2-

227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Division), Kaithal, whereby an application for amendment of written statement has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that with respect to the averments made in para 7 of the preliminary objections of the written statement, the petitioner only wishes to further explain/elaborate that after 15.03.2015, the petitioner had again sold his crops to the plaintiff's firm and an amount of Rs.1,50,000/- was paid to him for the sale of the said crops. It is submitted that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition, but liberty be granted to the petitioner to explain the said paragraph during the course of evidence.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that all the objections which are admissible in law be kept open for the respondents.

4. In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

5. It would be open to the respondents to raise all objections as available in law.

**September 15, 2025**

*naresh.k*

**( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No