

2025:PHHC:048337



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

286

CRM-M-8708-2025

Date of decision: April 08, 2025

ANURAG KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Reetesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikalp Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. Bindu Tanwar, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.310, dated 03.09.2024, under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 338, 366(3), 340(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.02.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 15.02.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 06.03.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and



confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will, and the complainants have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed photocopies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court, and the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

April 08, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*