



105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43375-2025  
DECIDED ON: 18.08.2025**

**GURPREET SINGH****.....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)****1. Prayer**

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.93 dated 12.04.2025 under Sections 109,115(2),118(1),117(2),126(2),351(3),191(3),190,61(2), 49, 238 of BNS, 2023 registered at P.S Dera Bassi, SAS Nagar.

**2 Contention****On behalf of the petitioner**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the petitioner was neither present at the place of occurrence nor has he inflicted any injury upon the complainant. It is further submitted that the registration of the present FIR is politically motivated and is a result of political vendetta, as the petitioner was actively supporting the Congress party during the recent elections, whereas the

complainant is affiliated with the ruling party. He asserts that similarly situated co-accused persons namely Ashok Kumar & Raj Kumar have already been enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.07.2025 passed in CRM-M-37192-2025 & CRM-M-37190-2025 (Annexures P-3 & P-4 respectively).

Notice of motion.

**On behalf of the State/complainant**

On the asking of Court, Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State, whereas Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his *vakalatnama*, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant prays for denial of the concession of bail by submitting that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to ascertain the facts as he was part of the mob that inflicted serious injuries on the person of the complainant.

3. **Analysis**

Having carefully perused the contents of the petition, and upon consideration of the fact that the presence of the petitioner at the place of occurrence has not been substantiated, nor have the injuries allegedly sustained by the complainant been conclusively attributed to the petitioner, this Court finds merit in the submissions made. It is also pertinent to note that the co-accused, namely Ashok Kumar and Raj Kumar, have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.07.2025, passed in CRM-M-37192-2025 and CRM-M-37190-2025, respectively (Annexures P-3 and P-4).

In the light of above, this Court is of the considered view that there is no valid or cogent reason to deny the bail to the present petitioner, wherein he has *bona fide* intentions and is ready and willing to join the investigation and

cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency in time.

4. **Relief:-**

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

*'When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-*

*(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*

*(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*

*(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*

*(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'*

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**18.08.2025**  
Meenu

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No