



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

**CRM-M-30996-2025
Date of decision: 28.08.2025**

LAKHWINDER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Ishan Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
LAKHWINDER SINGH	103	04.09.2023	379 and 411 IPC	Khilchian	Amritsar

2. On 29.05.2025, following order was passed:-

“The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 BNSS with a prayer to grant of anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.103 dated 04.09.2023, under Sections 379, 411 of IPC registered at Police Station Khilchian District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was mainly registered against Satnam Singh son of Harpal Singh and the petitioner was nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure



- 2-

statement suffered by Satnam Singh, co-accused. He further contends that Satnam Singh has been granted the concession of bail by the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Baba Bakala Sahib vide order dated 20.09.2023 (Annexure P-2). He further contends that the FIR in the present case was registered on 04.09.2023 and now after several months, the petitioner is sought to be arrested for extraneous considerations.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 28.08.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 29.05.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the official respondent confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 17.06.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 29.05.2025, passed



by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

28.08.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No