

2025:PHHC:133429



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

300+311

1. CRM-M-31556-2024 (O&M)

Manpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

2. CRM-M-42865-2025 (O&M)

Lovepreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

Date of decision : 24.09.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. G. S. Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-31556-2024.

Mr. P. S. Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-42865-2025.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gurkirat Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No. 2/complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. This common order shall dispose of aforementioned two petitions as they arise out of the same FIR and seek similar reliefs.

2. The present petitions have been filed by the petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 3 dated 03.01.2023, registered under Sections 379-B, 411 and 34 of IPC at Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala and all

2025:PHHC:133429



the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 23.10.2023 and 21.07.2025, respectively.

3. This Court vide order dated 08.08.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate/trial Court was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Additional Sessions Judge has submitted report dated 17.09.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence. It is also mentioned in the report that there is no other accused in this case except the present petitioners. Separate statements of the petitioners and complainant/respondent No.2 as well as the Investigating Officer have been recorded. It is further mentioned in the report that the petitioners have not been declared proclaimed persons in this case.

5. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

7. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10***

2025:PHHC:133429



SCC 303, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 3 dated 03.01.2023, registered under Sections 379-B, 411 and 34 of IPC at Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala is hereby quashed qua the petitioners along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

8. Let a photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

24.09.2025

Waseem Ansari

**(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No