

COCP-1625-2022

Date of Decision :29.08.2022

Justice N.K.Sud (Retd.) and another ...Petitioners

versus

Sanjiv Kaushal and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rohit Sud, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P-1, dated 23.05.2022 in CWP-11214-2022.
2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 23.05.2022 reveals that CWP-11214-2022 was disposed of on the statement of learned counsel for the respondents that in case representation dated 29.07.2021 was not decided, the same be decided within 8 weeks and in case after the decision of the representation, the petitioners were found entitled to any monetary benefits, the same be released to the petitioners within next four weeks.
3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to notice issued to respondent No.1, reply has been filed alongwith speaking order dated 18.08.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioners, therefore, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty

to challenge speaking order Annexure R/1 dated 18.08.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against respondent No.1 under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.08.2022

PS

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*