

323.

2025:PHHC:041457



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3916-2025**

Date of decision: 26.03.2025

Prince Goswami @ Shivam

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Ms. Molly Tarunima Tagore, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ranwant Singh Sangha, Advocate,  
for respondents No.2 to 4.

-----

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the instant (second) petition is for quashing of FIR No.398, dated 27.08.2017, under Sections 324, 341, 506 of IPC (Sections 323, 120-B of IPC deleted lateron and Sections 307, 326 of IPC added), registered at Police Station City Ambala, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 18.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 27.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 27.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Ambala, in pursuance of the directions of this Court,

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant/respondents No.2 to 4 have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondents No.2 to 4 are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioner.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

March 26, 2025

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No