



132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-5249-2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.04.2025

Parma Nand Appellant

Versus

Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board & ors. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. N.C.Kinra, Advocate and
Mr. Harsh Kinra, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Devyansh, Advocate for
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1 Learned counsel for the respondent has produced copy of the communication dated 03.04.2025, as per which differential amount of gratuity i.e. Rs.1,53,270/- stands paid to the appellant. Copy of the communication has been supplied to the counsel representing the appellant.

2 In view of above, nothing survives in the present appeal for adjudication and the same is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.



3 In case the appellant still feels that there is some amount which was payable to him *qua* gratuity but has not been paid, he is granted liberty to file an application seeking revival of the appeal.

24.04.2025
Pooja Sharma-I

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No