



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-1603-2023  
DECIDED ON: 08.07.2025

VISHAL GOYAL

.....APPLICANT/APPELLANT

VERSUS

BIRBHAN ARYA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate  
for the applicant/appellant.

**(for referral to the Special Mediation Drive-Mediation for  
the Nation)**

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'CR.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal the judgment of acquittal dated 13.09.2023 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jind in a case stemming from complaint dated 12.08.2016/30.04.2022 filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, after considerable discussion and comparative interpretation of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions.

3. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application seeking leave to appeal is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge, Jind with a direction to treat the same as filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. and entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.

4. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge, Jind forthwith.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

08.07.2025

*Poonam Negi*

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*