



243

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28482-2025

Date of Decision:28.05.2025

SAJJAN ALIAS SAJAN

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.0121 dated 24.05.2023, registered under Sections 379-B(2) & 34 of IPC, Police Station Maqboolpura, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. He next contends that in fact it was a case of road-rage as there was exchange of hot words between the petitioner and the complainant as their vehicles had colluded with each other. He further contends that the allegations levelled by the complainant are highly exaggerated. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 24.05.2023 and



after completion of investigation, challan has already been presented against him. He further contends that even the complainant had suffered simple injuries and he has already been discharged from the hospital. He further contends that in the present case, the challan presented against him, however no witness has been examined so far. Thus, the conclusion of the trial will take considerable time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody since 14.02.2025 i.e. for the last more than 03 months and challan has been presented against him. Moreover, the trial has not even formally started against him and his further custody will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

28.05.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No