

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

202

COCP No.1222 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 21, 2014

M/s Nanu Ram Goyal Construction Co. (P) Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. D.P.S. Nagal, IAS and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Mohit Singh, Advocate,  
for Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Gaur, Advocate  
for the respondents.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Request for adjournment has been made on behalf of counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the respondents submits that all the amount due to the petitioner has been released to him and the details thereof have been mentioned in the affidavit dated 25.07.2012 filed by the respondents. He has handed over the latest calculation to the proxy counsel for the petitioner.

I am of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by further adjourning the case, as it has been stated by the counsel for the respondents that in case the petitioner points out any amount, which is due to the respondent-HUDA, the same shall be considered and decided at an early date and payment made to him, if found due.

The present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation to the Estate Officer, Faridabad within a period of four weeks from today. If such a representation is submitted by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and decided by the said officer within a period of four weeks from the date of submission of such representation and the order be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. In case, any amount is found due, the same shall be released to the petitioner within a period of two weeks thereafter. It will be open to the petitioner to avail of his remedy in accordance with law, in case he is not satisfied by the order passed by the Estate Officer, Faridabad.

Rule discharged.

November 21, 2014  
pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE**