



**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh**

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M)**

**Reserved On: 06.02.2025**  
**Pronounced On: 25.02.2025**

Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, Mohali

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Hardip Kaur Gill

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.**

Present: Mr. R.S Khosla, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Aman Sharma, Mr. Chirag Suri and Mr. Yogender Verma,  
Advocates, for the appellant-GMADA.

Mr. Naresh Kaushal and Mr. Nitish Kaushal, Advocates  
for the landowners.

Mr. Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Munish Sharma, Advocate  
for the appellants (In CM-519-CI-2021 and RFA-4491-2013)

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for  
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate  
for the appellants (In RFA-1124-2013, RFA-1125-2013,  
RFA-1126-2013, RFA-1409-2013 to RFA-1423-2013,  
RFA-1424-2013 to RFA-1426, RFA-1988-2013, RFA-1989-  
2013, RFA-2283-2013 to RFA-2286-2013, RFA-2288-2013,  
RFA-2289-2013, RFA-2465-2013 and RFA-2466-2013.

Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate  
Mr. Shoryaveer Vashisht, Advocate  
for the appellants in RFA-4610-2013, RFA-4612-2013, RFA-  
4614-2013, RFA-4618-2013 and RFA-4619-2013.

Mr. Manoj Pundir and Mr. Kuldeep Rathee, Advocates  
for the appellant (In RFA-821-2014) and  
for the respondents/landowner (In RFA-1460 of 2014).

Mr. Sandeep Dhiman, Advocate  
for the appellants (In RFA-202-2014 and RFA-203-2014,  
RFA-4213-2016, RFA-4261-2016 and RFA-1410-2017).

Mr. Nirmal Jangra, Advocate for  
Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate  
for the appellant (In RFA-3514-2018).

Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate  
for the appellant in RFA-2258-2014 to RFA-2262-2014 and  
RFA-2434-2014 and RFA-1707-2015.

Mr. Raj Kumar Rathore, Advocate  
Mr. Kuldeep Rathore, Advocate  
for the respondents No. 1, 3 and 4 (In RFA-3231-2013) and  
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

**Anil Kshetarpal, J.**

**1. Factual Background**

1.1 With the consent of learned counsel representing the parties, a batch of around 484 regular first appeals, the detail whereof is given at the foot of the judgment, shall stand disposed of by a common order.

1.2 These cross appeals have been filed by the landowners as well as the Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (hereinafter referred to as “GMADA”), the beneficiary of the acquisition. The assessment of the market value of the acquired land is the only issue arising in all these appeals.

1.3 The relevant and necessary details of the acquisition are as under:-

S.No.	Date	Particulars	Details				
1.	23.01.2004	Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as “the 1894 Act”) was published for proposing	Proposing to acquire the land for planned development and for setting up of the residential Urban Estate, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, which is now a district. The details of the land sought to be acquired in the following five villages is as under:- <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Village</th><th>Area of land</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Raipur Khurd</td><td>13.69 Acres</td></tr></tbody></table>	Village	Area of land	Raipur Khurd	13.69 Acres
Village	Area of land						
Raipur Khurd	13.69 Acres						

S.No.	Date	Particulars	Details	
			Chilla	276.25 Acres
			Mauli Baidwan	103.56 Acres
			Kumbra	22.60 Acres
			Manauli	1.29 Acres
			Total Land	417.39 Acres

1.4 The learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that the cases arising from village Manauli are not a part of this batch.

1.5 The declaration under Section 6 of the 1894 was published on 18.01.2005. Through different awards for each of four villages, the Land Acquisition Collector (hereinafter referred to as “the LAC”) on 26.12.2006 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹40,00,000/- per acre.

1.6 Being dissatisfied with the award of the LAC, the landowners requested for referring the matter to the Reference Court (hereinafter referred to as “the RC”). The various reference petitions were decided by the RC in various bunches. The RC has assessed the market value of the acquired land in respect of four villages, namely Chilla, Mauli Baidwan, Kumbra and Raipur Khurd and the tabulated compilation thereof is extracted as under:-

Sr. No.	Date of judgment passed by the RC	Name of the Village	Market Value assessed by the RC per acre (In ₹)
1.	11.12.2012	Chilla	53,39,639/-
2.	11.12.2012	Mauli Baidwan	71,40,000/-
3.	26.03.2013	Kumbra	71,40,000/-
4.	16.04.2013	Raipur Khurd	53,39,639/-

1.7 The landowners as well as GMADA have assailed the correctness of the market value assessed by the RCs in various awards. The landowners claim that the market value of the acquired land was not less than ₹5,00,00,000/- per acre. The GMADA on the other hand claims that the

RCs have erred in assessing the market value.

1.8 In Regular First Appeal No. 4608 of 2013, the landowners have filed an application for additional evidence. They wish to produce the various RC's awards assessing the market value of acquired land by different notifications in villages, namely Manakmajra and Kambala.

**2. Evidence produced by the respective parties**

2.1 In all these cases, the following witnesses have been examined in oral evidence:-

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of the Witness</b>	<b>Particulars of the Witness</b>
<b>Village Chilla</b>		
1.	PW.1 Sushil Kumar Attri	Claimant
2.	PW.2 Paramjit Singh	Senior Assistant
3.	PW.3 Jaswinder Singh	--
4.	PW.4 Gurdev Singh	--
5.	PW.5 Pal Singh	--
<b>Village Mauli Baidwan</b>		
6.	PW.1 Jaspal Singh	Applicant
7.	PW.2 Harmail Singh	Lambardar
8.	PW.3 Karnail Singh	--
9.	PW.4 Bachittar Singh	--
10.	PW.5 Gurdev Singh	--
11.	PW.6 Paul Singh	--
<b>Village Kumbra</b>		
12.	PW.1 Gian Singh	--
13.	PW.2 Sadhu Singh	
14.	PW.3 Amar Singh	
15.	PW.4 Parkash Singh	Patwari
<b>Village Raipur Khurd</b>		
16.	PW.1 Mansa Singh	--
17.	PW.2 Jaspal Singh	--
18.	PW.3 Bachittar Singh	--
19.	PW.4 Amar Singh	--

2.2 Except the sale deeds, the parties have produced the following documentary evidence:-

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of the document</b>
<b>Village Chilla</b>		
1.	Ex.C17	Copy of Award No. 502 dated 29.05.2009
2.	Ex.C18	Copy of schedule for proceedings of land acquisition under the Land Acquisition Act.
3.	Ex.C19	Site Plan
4.	Ex.C20	Site Plan
5.	Ex.C21	Site Plan
6.	Ex.C22	Copy of the Land Acquisition (Amendment) Bill, 2007
7.	Ex.C23	Letter dated 21.11.2006 of PUDA
8.	Ex.C25	Copy of award No. 33 dated 09.04.2008
9.	Ex.C26	Copy of Valuation of Existing structures of Karnail Singh
10.	Ex.C27	Copy of Plan showing the existing structure of Karnail Singh
11.	Ex.C28	Copy of Valuation of Existing structures of Amarjit Singh
12.	Ex.C29	Copy of Plan showing the existing structure of Amarjit Singh
13.	Ex.C30	Copy of Plan showing the existing structure of Sher Singh
14.	Ex.C31	Copy of Valuation of Existing structures of Sher Singh
15.	Ex.C32	Copy of Plan showing the existing structure of Manmohan Singh
16.	Ex.C33	Letter dated 21.11.2006 of PUDA
17.	Ex.C34	Copy of Minutes of Meeting
18.	Ex.C36	Copy of Memorandum for Council of Ministers dated 14.11.2006
19.	Ex.CX	Copy of Award No. 522 Dated 15.11.2011
<b>Village Mauli Baidwan</b>		
20.	Ex.P7	Letter Dated 08.12.2021
21.	Ex.P8/Ex.P9/ Ex.P10/Ex.P11	Copy of Notification dated 19.01.2004
22.	Ex.P12	Copy of Award No. 522 dated 15.11.2011
23.	Ex.P13	Copy of Award No. 523 dated 15.11.2011
24.	Ex.P14	Copy of Award No. 524 dated 15.11.2011
25.	Ex.P15	Copy of Award No. 525 dated 15.11.2011
26.	Ex.P16	Copy of Award No. 526 dated 15.11.2011
27.	Ex.PW.3/A	Allotment Letter dated 11.10.2005
28.	Ex.PW.3/B	Allotment Letter dated 23.08.2007

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of the document</b>
<b>Village Kumbra</b>		
29.	Ex.P6	Copy of judgment dated 11.12.2012
<b>Village Raipur Khurd</b>		
30.	Ex.P1	Site Plan
31.	Ex.P2	Copy of mutation dated 18.07.2007 of village Raipur Khurd
32.	Ex.P2/A	Copy of Mutation dated 08.10.2012
33.	Ex.P2/B	Copy of Mutation dated 08.10.2012
34.	Ex.P3	Copy of Award No. 522 dated 15.11.2011
35.	Ex.P4	Copy of judgment dated 11.12.2012 passed by the RC
36.	Ex.P5	Site Plan

2.3 On the other hand, the respondents have examined the following witnesses in oral evidence:-

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of the Witness</b>	<b>Particulars of the Witness</b>
<b>Village Chilla</b>		
1.	RW.1 Jaspreet Singh Sandhu	Horticulture Development Officer
2.	RW.2 Ashok Kumar	--
3.	RW.3 Rajiv Gupta	--
<b>Village Mauli Baidwan</b>		
4.	RW.1 Ashok Kumar	--
5.	RW.2 Balwinder Singh	Forester
<b>Village Kumbra</b>		
6.	RW.1 Ashok Kumar	Kanungo
<b>Village Raipur Khurd</b>		
7.	RW.1 Ashok Kumar	Kanungo

2.4 In the documentary evidence, the respondents have produced the following documentary evidence:-

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of the document</b>
<b>Village Chilla</b>		
1.	Ex.R1 to Ex.R10	Copy of Survey List of Sector 81, Village Chilla
2.	Ex.R11	Copy of Notification under Section 4 dated 23.01.2004
3.	Ex.R12	Copy of Award No. 496 dated 26.12.2006

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of the document</b>
4.	Ex.R13	Copy of Ledger
5.	Ex.R14 to Ex.C16	Copy of Calculation
<b>Village Mauli Baidwan</b>		
6.	Ex.R1	Copy of Award No. 1194 dated 26.12.2006
7.	Ex.R2	Copy of notification dated 03.01.2004
8.	Ex.RW.2/A Ex.PW.2/B	Copy of the number and species of the Trees
9.	Ex.PW.2C Ex.PW.2D	Copy of Assessment of Trees
<b>Village Kumbra</b>		
10.	Ex.R1	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 30.01.2008
11.	Ex.R2	Site Plan
12.	Ex.R3	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 12.05.2011
13.	Ex.R4	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 29.12.2008
14.	Ex.R5	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 29.12.2008
15.	Ex.R6	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 28.01.2009
16.	Ex.R7	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 30.12.2008
17.	Ex.R8	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 29.12.2008
18.	Ex.R9	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 29.12.2008
19.	Ex.R10	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 28.01.2009
20.	Ex.R11	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 21.01.2009
21.	Ex.R12	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 21.01.2009
22.	Ex.R13/Ex.R17	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 06.08.2009
23.	Ex.R14/Ex.R15	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 30.12.2008
24.	Ex.R16	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 22.01.2009
25.	Ex.R18	Copy of Notification dated 23.01.2004
26.	Ex.R19	Copy of Award No. 493 dated 26.12.2006

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of the document</b>
<b>Village Raipur Khurd</b>		
27.	Ex.R1	Copy of notification dated 23.01.2004
28.	Ex.R2	Copy of Award No. 495 dated 26.12.2006
29.	Ex.R3	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 02.03.2007
30.	Ex.R4/Ex./R5/ Ex.R6/Ex.R7	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act issued on 25.07.2006
31.	Ex.R8	Copy of Statement No. 19 of Land Acquisition Act

**3. Reasons recorded by the Reference Court**

3.1 In batch No.1, the RC made the observations that all the exemplar sale deeds produced by the landowners are post the date of notification u/s 4 dated 23.01.2004. The sale deeds produced by the GMADA i.e. sale deeds No. 2839, 2873, 2122, 3021, 2039, 2157, 644, 1345, 1835, 849, 288, 2506 and 839 have been excluded from consideration on the ground that the price reflected in these sale deeds is lower than the amount awarded by the LAC. The RC has also declined to rely upon the LAC's awards announced on 15.11.2011 offering to pay @ ₹1,08,45,070/- per acre for the acquired land in villages Sohana, Lakhnaur, Behrampur, Manak Majra and Landran. Thereafter, the RC calculated the average price reflected in the sale deeds No. 2621 dated 23.11.2006, No. 2869 dated 19.12.2006, No. 2854 dated 18.12.2006 and No. 2620 dated 23.11.2006 @ ₹1,07,88,368/-. The RC after noticing that the sale instances are post 23.01.2004, decided to roll back the price @ 12% per annum. After deducting 34% of ₹1,07,88,368/-, the RC arrived at the figure of ₹71,20,318/-. Thereafter, chose to apply 25% cut towards the development charges and assessed the market value of the acquired land in village Chilla @ ₹53,39,639/- per acre.

3.2 The RC assessed the market value of the land located in village Mauli Baidwan @ ₹71,40,000/- per acre. For the above noted reasons, the RC did not rely upon the LAC vide awards No.522, 523, 524, 525 and 526 offering to pay @ ₹1,08,45,070/- per acre to the landowners for acquisition of land located in villages Sohana, Lakhnaur, Behrampur, Manak Majra and Landran by different notifications. It was also noticed that all the sale deeds produced by the landowners are post 23.01.2004. The RC excluded three sale deeds produced by GMADA from consideration for assessing the market value on the ground that the aforesaid sale deeds reflect the price lower than ₹40,00,000/- per acre. Subsequently, the RC selected the post 23.01.2004 sale deed number 1985 dated 20.09.2006 with respect to the land measuring 12 kanals 15 marlas sold @ ₹1,40,00,000/-. After applying deduction @ 32% i.e. @ 12% per annum @ ₹1,40,00,000/- it arrived at a figure of ₹95,00,000/-. Thereafter, towards development charges 25% cut was further applied to arrive at a figure of ₹71,40,000/-.

3.3 In the reference petitions arising from the acquisition of land in village Raipur Khurd, the RC vide judgment dated 16.04.2013 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹53,39,634/- per acre. The RC took note of the fact that all the exemplar sale deeds produced by the landowners are post 23.01.2004, whereas the sale deeds produced by the beneficiary of acquisition reflect the price lower than ₹40,00,000/- per acre. Thereafter, the Court relied upon the award passed on 11.12.2012 with respect to the acquisition of the land in village Chilla to assess the market value of the acquired land @ ₹53,39,639/- per acre.

3.4 With respect to the acquired land in village Kumbra, the RC,

vide award dated 26.03.2013 assessed the market value of acquired land @ ₹71,40,000/- per acre, at par with market value of the acquired land in village Mauli Baidwan. The sale deed No. 639, dated 24.05.2004 produced in evidence by GMADA with respect to the land measuring 8 kanalas 8 marlas located in village Kumbra was excluded from consideration on the ground that it reflects the price lower than the amount awarded by the LAC.

**4. Arguments put forth by the learned counsel representing the parties**

4.1 Heard the learned counsel representing the parties, at length and with their able assistance, perused the paper-books along with the record of the RC.

4.2 Mr. Shailendra Jain, Senior Advocate, has filed a written synopsis wherein he has culled out his submission. The same is extracted as under:-

*“1. The land in question is admittedly urban property, adjoins sector 67, 68, Phase XI, XII and main industrial area of SAS Nagar. Furthermore, it adjoins main bus stand, cricket stadium, and main GT Road running from Chandigarh to Sirhind and also the GT Road passing through Sectors 67, 68, 69, 70, 71 of SAS Nagar. The land in question is near to Chandigarh International Airport.*

*2. Award No.522 (Ex.CX) (Sohana), Award No.523 (Lakhnaur), Award No.524 (Bairampur), Award No.525 (Manak Majra) and Award No.526 (Landran) dated*

*15.11.2011 for total area 888.49 Acres acquired for the public purpose of setting up of residential and urban estate in Sectors 88-89, are the most important pieces of evidence, wherein the Collector has awarded a sum of Rs.1,08,45,070/- per acre for notification u/s 4 dated 19.01.2004 and after adding statutory benefits, the total compensation per acre comes to Rs.1,63.38,915/-.*

3. *As per the statement of Sh. Gurwinder Singh, Patwari RWI in Reference No. 1 of 2010 (Rajender Kaur V/s State of Punjab), there is no difference in the quality and value of the lands of the two awards. Any other approach in payment of compensation in the case of present award No.496 would vis por tantamount in depriving a person/claimant of his property without authority of law and would be hit by the provisions of Article 300A of the Constitution of India. It is a settled law that lands of the villages adjoining each other are assessed at a uniform rate as held in State of Punjab V/s Harchal Singh: AIR 2006 Supreme Court 2122. All the villages' lands falls in contiguity under the same Master Plan of SAS Nagar Mohali and were required contemporaneously with a difference of three days in the dates of notifications. Parity in award of compensation was also the sole objective behind enactment of Section 28A of the Land Acquisition Act.*

4. *Moreover, the same RC vide its Award, w.r.t. same date of acquisition, qua village Mauli Baidwan, awarded Rs.71,40,000/- per acre. It is well settled especially w.r.t. villages falling near Mohali that property is of same value and furthermore, once the LAC has awarded compensation to all villages at par, they are entitled to uniform rate on the basis of parity as well.*
5. *With regards to the superstructures and trees, claimants have produced voluminous evidence and also got examined PW/5 Amar Singh, the Draftsman. The claimants pray for the indulgence of this court and make appropriate enhancement in the compensation.*
6. *The evidence lead by the State of Punjab of the sale deeds executed prior to the date of notification u/s 4 are of lesser amount than the amount awarded by the Collector as compensation of Rs.40 Lakhs. Such transactions are undervalued as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **HSIDC V/s Pran Sukh & another (Law Finder ID 237476)**, **Shubh Ram & Another V/s State of Haryana (Law Finder ID 459480)**. The statement of Ashok Kumar, Kanugo, O/o LAC, Department of Urban Development, Punjab (Ex.RW2) and statement of Gurvinder Singh, Patwari, RW1 in Reference No.1 of 2010 (**Rajender Kaur V/s State of Punjab**), where categorically stated that farmers always*

*get the sale deed registered at the lower price than the rate at which they purchase the land in order to save the stamp duty, can be cited for this purpose.”*

4.3 Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate, has also submitted the following written submissions:-

- “i. Firstly once the average of Ex.P-1 to P-6 has been taken and decrease on the amount work out is applied thereby reducing value to be from Rs. 1.40 Crore to Rs. 95,20,000/-, further cut of 25% was not warranted.*
- ii. Secondly as per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Horrnal (deceased) through his LR and others Versus State of Haryana and others, SLP (C) No. 7963 of 2023 decided on 21.01.2024**, land losers are entitled to compensation on the basis of sale instance reflecting the higher value amongst various sale instances and that being so, sale instance in the case in hand EX P-6 relating to area measuring 26 Kanal 12 Marla of dated 11.07.2006 of village Daon sold for Rs. 19,30,25,000/-and per acre value comes to Rs. 5,80,52,631/- per acre. Further Ex. P-5 reflect value to be Rs. 3,41,30,769/- per acre and Ex.P-1 qua land of village Mauli Baidan measuring 30 Kanal 3 Marla sold for consideration of Rs. 5,84,15,625/- and per acre rate comes to Rs. 1.55 Crore.*
- iii. Admittedly the bonafide of these sale instances Ex.P-1 to*

*P-6 has not been doubted and it is proved on record of the case that the same being bonafide reflect correct value and the Hon'ble Apex Court in the above said latest judgment has also held that post notification sales can only be considered when better evidence is not available on record.*

*iv. As per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court recently in the above said case as well as in earlier cases Section 23 mandates the market rate to be awarded as prevalent on the date of notification u/s 4, it never talks about the sale instances of prior thereto or post dated rather the same is interpretation by the Hon'ble Judicial Courts, all factors which includes, kind of land, potential of land, potentiality in future, surrounding all over development, price a willing buyer wants to pay and willing seller wants to get, are to be considered and further the post dated sale instances can be considered when better evidence is not available on record and the transactions are genuine and bonafide one.”*

4.4 The learned counsel representing the remaining respondents have adopted the above noted arguments.

4.5 Mr. Rupinder Khosla, Senior Advocate, representing the GMADA, drew the attention of the Court to LAC's award No. 522 announced on 15.11.2011, related to the acquired land located in village Sohana. He contended that the LAC has erred in assessing the market value

of the acquired land on the date of award i.e. 15.11.2011. He submitted that in all the batches, the RCs erred in calculating the average price of the various sale deeds which were executed post 23.01.2004 and thereafter, reduced the price @ 12% per annum while incorrectly excluding the sale deeds produced by the GMADA from consideration.

**5. Analysis and Discussion by this Court**

5.1 The first submission made by Mr. Shailender Jain, Senior Advocate, is based upon the current situation of the acquired land. As per first part of Section 23(1) of the 1894 Act, the market value of the acquired land is required to be assessed on 23.01.2004. At the time of notification, neither the international airport was planned nor the area was developed.

5.2 It is important to note that the LAC while announcing the awards No. 522, 523, 524, 525 and 526 (villages Sohana, Khanaur, Behrampur, Manam Majra and Landran) has committed fundamental error in assessing the market value of the acquired land on the date of award, i.e. 15.11.2011 and not on the date of Section 4 notification (19.01.2004) as provided in the first part of Section 23(1). A decision was taken by the Council of Ministers of Punjab Government in this respect in the peculiar facts of the case. Hence, these awards are in violation of the first part of Section 23(1) of the 1894 Act, which reads as under:-

*“23. Matters to be considered on determining compensation. -*

*(1) In determining the amount of compensation to be awarded for land acquired under this Act, the Court shall take into consideration*

*first, the market-value of the land at the date of the publication*

*of the notification under section 4, sub-section (1).”*

5.3 The awards which are against the mandate of the Statute would not serve as a good exemplar for assessing the market value of the acquired land as on 23.01.2004. Moreover, these awards are with respect to the acquisition of land by different notifications. The acquired land is also located in different villages.

5.4 It would be noticed here that the oral evidence of Patwari would not be sufficient to assess the market value of the acquired land. Similarly, the reliance placed on Section 28A of the 1894 Act to claim parity with the acquired land under different notification(s) is not made out because Section 28A provides another opportunity to the landowners who failed to apply under Section 18. Section 28A does not provide for parity of compensation under different notifications but only provides chance to the landowners who could not apply earlier. The judgment passed in *The State of Punjab v. Harchal Singh (Dead) through LRs AIR 2006 Supreme Court 2122* is not applicable to the facts of the present case.

5.5 The next submission of the learned counsel is based upon the market value assessed of acquired land located in village Mauli Baidwan which shall be examined in the later part of the judgment.

5.6 With respect to the fifth submission, this Court is not deciding the cases involving the compensation for super structures and trees, hence, it does not need any discussion.

5.7 The sixth submission put forth by the learned counsel also lacks substance because the landowners have failed to lead cogent evidence to prove that the sale deeds produced by the GMADA were undervalued.

5.8 The first and second submissions of Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate, shall be analyzed at a later stage. With regard to the third submission, it would be noticed that the sale instances No. 855 dated 12.06.2006, No. 856 dated 12.06.2006, No. 1985 dated 20.09.2006, No. 6665 dated 31.03.2006, No. 154 dated 10.04.2008 and 1240 dated 11.07.2006 produced in village Mauli Baidwan, are all post 23.01.2004 sale deeds. Hence, these can be relied upon only if there is no other sale deed of contemporaneous period to 23.01.2004. In this case, the GMADA has produced the sale deeds to prove the market value during the contemporaneous period.

5.9 With regard to the fourth submission, the market value of the acquired land is required to be assessed after taking a holistic view of the matter. In fact, the Supreme Court in *Chimanlal Hargovinddass Vs. Special Land Acquisition Officer, Poona, (1988) 3 SCC 751* has laid down the following 17 tests for assessing the market value of the acquired land:-

*“4. The following factors must be etched on the mental screen:*

*(1) A reference under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act is not an appeal against the award and the court cannot take into account the material relied upon by the Land Acquisition Officer in his award unless the same material is produced and proved before the court.*

*(2) So also the award of the Land Acquisition Officer is not to be treated as a judgment of the trial court open*

*or exposed to challenge before the court hearing the reference. It is merely an offer made by the Land Acquisition Officer and the material utilised by him for making his valuation cannot be utilised by the court unless produced and proved before it. It is not the function of the court to sit in appeal against the award, approve or disapprove its reasoning, or correct its error or affirm, modify or reverse the conclusion reached by the Land Acquisition Officer, as if it were an appellate court.*

*(3) The court has to treat the reference as an original proceeding before it and determine the market value afresh on the basis of the material produced before it.*

*(4) The claimant is in the position of a plaintiff who has to show that the price offered for his land in the award is inadequate on the basis of the materials produced in the court. Of course the materials placed and proved by the other side can also be taken into account for this purpose.*

*(5) The market value of land under acquisition has to be determined as on the crucial date of publication of the notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act (dates of notifications under Sections 6 and 9 are irrelevant).*

*(6) The determination has to be made standing on the date line of valuation (date of publication of notification*

*under Section 4) as if the valuer is a hypothetical purchaser willing to purchase land from the open market and is prepared to pay a reasonable price as on that day. It has also to be assumed that the vendor is willing to sell the land at a reasonable price.*

***(7) In doing so by the instances method, the court has to correlate the market value reflected in the most comparable instance which provides the index of market value.***

*(8) Only genuine instances have to be taken into account. (Sometimes instances are rigged up in anticipation of acquisition of land.)*

*(9) Even post-notification instances can be taken into account (1) if they are very proximate, (2) genuine and (3) the acquisition itself has not motivated the purchaser to pay a higher price on account of the resultant improvement in development prospects.*

***(10) The most comparable instances out of the genuine instances have to be identified on the following considerations:***

***(i) proximity from time angle,***

***(ii) proximity from situation angle.***

*(11) Having identified the instances which provide the index of market value the price reflected therein may be taken as the norm and the market value of the land under*

*acquisition may be deduced by making suitable adjustments for the plus and minus factors vis-à-vis land under acquisition by placing the two in juxtaposition.*

*(12) A balance-sheet of plus and minus factors may be drawn for this purpose and the relevant factors may be evaluated in terms of price variation as a prudent purchaser would do.*

*(13) The market value of the land under acquisition has thereafter to be deduced by loading the price reflected in the instance taken as norm for plus factors and unloading it for minus factors.*

*(14) The exercise indicated in clauses (11) to (13) has to be undertaken in a common sense manner as a prudent man of the world of business would do. We may illustrate some such illustrative (not exhaustive) factors:*

<i>Plus factors</i>		<i>Minus factors</i>	
<i>1</i>	<i>smallness of size</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>largeness of area</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>proximity to a road</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>situation in the interior at a distance from the road</i>
<i>3</i>	<i>frontage on a road</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>narrow strip of land with very small frontage compared to depth</i>
<i>4</i>	<i>nearness to developed area</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>lower level requiring the depressed portion to be filled up</i>
<i>5</i>	<i>regular shape</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>remoteness from developed locality</i>
<i>6</i>	<i>level vis-à-vis land under acquisition</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>some special disadvantageous factor which would deter a purchaser</i>
<i>7</i>	<i>special value for an owner of an adjoining</i>		

<i>property to whom it may have some very special advantage</i>	
---	--

*(15) The evaluation of these factors of course depends on the facts of each case. There cannot be any hard and fast or rigid rule. Common sense is the best and most reliable guide. For instance, take the factor regarding the size. A building plot of land say 500 to 1000 sq. yds. cannot be compared with a large tract or block of land of say 10,000 sq. yds. or more. Firstly while a smaller plot is within the reach of many, a large block of land will have to be developed by preparing a lay out, carving out roads, leaving open space, plotting out smaller plots, waiting for purchasers (meanwhile the invested money will be blocked up) and the hazards of an entrepreneur. The factor can be discounted by making a deduction by way of an allowance at an appropriate rate ranging approximately between 20 per cent to 50 per cent to account for land required to be set apart for carving out lands and plotting out small plots. The discounting will to some extent also depend on whether it is a rural area or urban area, whether building activity is picking up, and whether waiting period during which the capital of the entrepreneur would be locked up, will be longer or shorter and the attendant hazards.*

*(16) Every case must be dealt with on its own fact pattern bearing in mind all these factors as a prudent purchaser of land in which position the judge must place himself.*

*(17) These are general guidelines to be applied with understanding informed with common sense.”*

5.10 A perusal of the layout plan produced by the parties depicts that a compact block of land out of the aforesaid four villages was acquired. Hence, in the absence of evidence to prove that the price of the acquired land was different in all the villages (namely Mauli Baidwan, Raipur Khurd, Chilla and Kumbra), the RC erred in assessing the separate market value of those villages.

5.11 At this stage, the tabulated compilation of the sale deeds produced by all the parties is extracted as under:-

Sr. No.	Sale deed No. and Date	Sale Consideration (In ₹)	Per Acre Price (In ₹)	Area sold	Location/Purpose
<b>Sale Deeds Produced by the Landowners</b>					
1.	5979/ 27.02.2006	3,65,52,082/-	1,21,08,350/-	24 Kanals 3 Marlas	Patti Sohana/ Agricultural
2.	5966/ 27.02.2006	1,79,98,750/-	1,21,00,000/-	11 Kanals 18 Marlas	Patti Sohana/Barani
3.	1985/ 20.09.2006	2,23,12,500/-	1,40,00,000/-	12 Kanals 15 Marlas	Mauli Baidwan/ Chahi
4.	1515/ 07.08.2006	7,03,00,000/-	1,60,00,000/-	35 Kanals 3 Marlas	Sukhgarh/Chahi
5.	3058/ 19.11.2008	2,17,81,250/-	1,70,00,000/-	10Kanals 5 Marlas	Sukhgarh/Chahi
6.	2375/ 02.11.2006	4,29,00,000/-	1,60,00,000/-	21 Kanals 9 Marlas	Dhurali/Chahi
7.	1645/ 05.08.2008	5,66,31,250/-	1,70,00,000/-	26 Kanals 13 Marlas	Dhurali/Chahi
8.	1240/ 11.07.2006	19,30,25,000/-	5,80,52,631/-	26 Kanals 12 Marlas	Bullo Majra/Chahi

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**23**

Sr. No.	Sale deed No. and Date	Sale Consideration (In ₹)	Per Acre Price (In ₹)	Area sold	Location/Purpose
9.	6665/ 31.03.2006	8,82,61,875/-	1,53,00,000/-	46 Kanals 3 Marlas	Dhol/Chahi
10.	1162/ 05.07.2007	56,66,640/-	1,35,99,936/-	2 Bighas 0 Biswas	Raipur Khurd/ Chahi
11.	3369/ 15.12.2008	39,48,960/-	1,70,00,011/-	1 Bigha 2 Biswas 6 Biswansi	Raipur Khurd/ Chahi
12.	2621/ 23.11.2006	8,34,26,558/-	1,06,99,999/-	37 Bighas 8½ Biswas	Chilla/Chahi
13.	2869/ 19.12.2006	7,81,32,288/-	1,06,99,999/-	35 Bighas 1 Biswa	Chilla/Chahi
14.	2854/ 18.12.2006	3,57,78,124/-	1,06,99,999/-	16 Bighas 1 Biswas	Chilla/Chahi
15.	2620/ 23.11.2006	2,01,73,957/-	1,06,99,999/-	9 Bighas 1 Biswas	Chilla/Chahi
16.	154/ 10.04.2008	2,77,31,250/-	3,39,27,783/-	6 Kanals 10 Marlas 7 Sarsahi	Bakarpur/Chahi
17.	3580/ 01.03.2007	3,33,59,375/-	2,50,00,000/-	10 Kanals 13½ Marlas	Bairampur/Chahi
18.	855/ 12.06.2006	5,84,15,625/-	1,55,00,000/-	30 Kanals 3 Marlas	Mauli Baidwan/ Chahi
19.	856/ 12.06.2006	84,28,125/-	1,55,00,000/-	4 Kanals 7 Marlas	Mauli Baidwan/ Chahi
<b>Sale Deed Produced by GMADA</b>					
1.	2839/ 27.02.2003	7,20,000/-	4,32,000/-	8 Bighas 0 Biswas	Chilla/Abi
2.	2873/ 08.01.2004	5,49,000/-	4,32,000/-	6 Bighas 2 Biswas	Chilla/Chahi
3.	2122/ 03.02.2003	1,00,000/-	4,00,000/-	1 Bigha 4 Biswas	Chilla/Abi
4.	3021/ 20.01.2004	2,85,000/-	4,34,285/-	3 Bighas 3 Biswas	Chilla/Chahi
5.	2039/ 20.10.2003	2,16,000/-	4,32,000/-	2 Bighas 8 Biswas	Chilla/Chahi
6.	2157/ 05.02.2003	9,40,000/-	5,01,333/-	9 Bighas 0 Biswas	Raipur Khurd/ Chahi
7.	644/ 05.06.2003	7,30,000/-	5,00,571/-	7 Bighas 0 Biswas	Raipur Khurd/ Chahi
8.	1345/ 07.08.2003	5,25,000/-	5,04,000/-	5 Bighas 0 Biswas	Raipur Khurd/ Chahi
9.	1835/ 19.09.2003	39,80,000/-	5,00,105/-	38 Bighas 4 Biswas	Raipur Khurd/ Chahi
10.	849/ 01.08.2001	2,20,000/-	16,00,000/-	1 Kanal 2 Marlas	Mauli Baidwan/ Gair Mumkin
11.	288/ 20.05.2022	2,95,000/-	3,93,333/-	6 Kanals 0 Marlas	Mauli Baidwan/ Barani
12.	2506/ 11.03.2003	3,00,000/-	4,00,000/-	6 Kanals 0 Marlas	Mauli Baidwan/ Barani

Sr. No.	Sale deed No. and Date	Sale Consideration (In ₹)	Per Acre Price (In ₹)	Area sold	Location/Purpose
13.	639/ 24.05.2004	8,30,000/-	7,90,476/-	8 Kanals 8 Marlas	Kumbra/Chahi

**I. Village Chilla**

5.12 On perusal of the tabulated compilation of the sale exemplars produced by the landowners with respect to village Chilla, it is evident that the sale deeds are post 23.01.2004. The notification u/s 4 of the 1894 Act was issued on 23.01.2004 (Ex.R11). The learned counsel representing the GMADA has informed the Court that the area of 20 biswansis or 1 biswa is 50.41666 square yards, whereas 20 biswas or 1 bigha is 1008.3333 square yards. 96 biswas (4 bighas 16 biswas) is equivalent to 1 acre land measuring 4840 square yards. There is a layout plan produced by the GMADA marking the location of sale instances produced by it. It is evident that all the sale instances produced by GMADA, namely sale deed No. 2389 dated 27.02.2003, sale deed No. 2873 dated 08.01.2004, sale deed No. 2122 dated 03.02.2003, sale deed No. 3021 dated 20.01.2004 and sale deed No. 2039 dated 20.10.2003 are of various parcels of land which are a part of the acquired land. In other words, these sale deeds pertain to parcels of acquired land vide notification dated 23.01.2004.

5.13 The correctness of the arguments advanced by Mr. Rupinder Singh Khosla, Senior Advocate, representing the GMADA is not disputed by the learned counsel representing the landowners. The RC has erred in excluding the exemplar sale deeds No. 2389, 2873, 2122, 3021 and 2039 for consideration by incorrectly interpreting Section 25 of the 1894 Act. This

issue is not longer *res integra* in view of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court in *Lal Chand vs. Union of India (2009) 15 SCC 769*. Section 25 does not prohibit the Court from taking into account the sale instances reflecting a price lower than the amount assessed by the LAC. It only prohibits that the Court will not award compensation less than the amount awarded by the LAC under Section 11 of the 1894 Act. The RC has also erred in relying upon the judgment in *Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation v. Pran Sukh and Another (2010) 11 SCC 175* which does not lay down that the sale deeds reflecting lower price than the amount offered by the LAC should be excluded for consideration.

5.14 Similarly, the RC has erred in working out the amount of compensation by taking into account the average of sale deeds executed post 23.01.2004 particularly when the sale exemplars produced by the GMADA with respect to the acquired land were available. The sale exemplars of the acquired land during contemporaneous period are the important pieces of evidence to assess the market value of the acquired land during the time period of acquisition. The landowners have failed to lead cogent evidence to prove these sale deeds were undervalued. The sale exemplar No. 2389 is executed between two private individuals. Prem Singh had sold the land measuring 8 bighas which is nearly 2 acres to Harish Jain and others. Sale deed No. 2873 has been executed by Bhag Singh and others in favour of Sanjeev Kumar Nagal, 'Hindu Undivided Family'. The sale instance No. 3021 has been executed by Ujagar Singh in favour of Surinderjit Singh HUF and others. The Court has overlooked the fact that there was no necessity to take average of the sale deeds No. 2621, 2869,

2854 and 2620 and then roll back/decrease @ 12% per annum particularly when the sale instances No. 2389, 2873, 2122, 3021 and 2039 of the acquired land were available.

**II. Village Mauli Baidwan**

5.15 With respect to the acquired land in village Mauli Baidwan, it is evident that all the six sale deeds produced by the landowners were post 23.01.2004. Sale instance No. 2506 produced by GMADA is with respect to a parcel of land which is at a distance of merely 10 acres from the boundary of the acquired land. Ex.R4 sale deed No. 2881 dated 20.05.2002 produced by the GMADA is only at a distance of 11 acres from the acquired land. Ex.R3, sale deed No. 849 dated 01.08.2001 pertains to a parcel of land that is located near the residential area of village Mauli Baidwan but not far away from the acquired land. Similar mistake was committed by the RC while excluding the sale deeds No. 849, 288, 2506, produced by the GMADA from consideration by incorrectly interpreting Section 25 of the 1894 Act.

5.16 On perusal of the sale exemplars No. 849, 288 and 2506, it clearly proves that the landowners failed to prove that the market value of the acquired land was more than ₹40,00,000/-. Per acre price of small plot sold vide sale deed No. 849 dated 01.08.2001 comes to ₹16,00,000/-. Even if the increase of 12% per annum for a period of 2½ years is calculated, still the price will not be ₹40,00,000/-. With respect to agricultural land, the sale instances No. 288 and 2506 which reflect the price of approximately ₹4,00,000/- in the year from 2002-2003. Hence, the amount offered by the LAC did not require any interference.

**III. Village Kumbra**

5.17 With respect to the cases arising from village Kumbra, it would be noticed that the landowners have produced five sale instances which are post 23.01.2004 period. The GMADA has produced the sale instance No. 639 dated 24.05.2004 which is also post 23.01.2004 sale instance but is related to the parcel of land measuring 8 kanals 8 marlas which abuts the acquired land. This particular parcel of land is located on the boundary of the acquired land. It clearly reflects that even after the Urban Estate was planned, the prices of the land did not shoot up.

**IV. Village Raipur Khurd**

5.18 With respect to the acquisition of the land in village Raipur Khurd, the landowners have produced sale instances No. 1162, 154, 6665 and 1240 all sale instances post 23.01.2004 period. The sale deed No. 1162 is the only sale instance of the parcel of land sold in village Raipur Khurd. The remaining sale instances No. 154, 6665 and 1240 are related to the land sold in different villages. On the other hand, the GMADA has produced the sale instances No. 2157, 644, 1345 and 1835 pertaining to the parcels of the land which have been acquired vide notification dated 23.01.2004. In other words, these sale instances are of different parcels of the land which have been acquired. The sale instance No. 2157 is with respect to the area more than two acres. This is the sale deed executed by Garib Siingh in favour of Gurmeet Singh. The State had no role to play in the execution of the aforesaid sale deed. Similarly, a land measuring 7 bighas which is more than 1½ acres was sold on 05.06.2003 in sale instance No. 644 by Ujaggar Singh in favour of Sant Baba Balwant Singh Ji Maharaj. The sale exemplar

No. 1345 dated 07.08.2003 is a sale deed pertaining to the land measuring more than 1 acre executed by Kartar Singh in favour of Sher Singh. A perusal of the sale instance No. 1835 dated 19.09.2003 proves that approximately 9 acres of the land was sold for ₹39,80,000/-. The per acre price comes to nearly ₹5,00,000/- per acre. Hence, it was not appropriate for the RC to assess the market value on the basis of the market value of the acquired land of village Chilla.

5.19 Similarly, the RC has also erred in misreading the judgment passed by the High Court **2006 (2) PLJ 39** as well as by the Supreme Court in ***Pran Sukh's case (supra)***. These judgments do not lay down that the sale instances reflecting the price lower than the price offered by the LAC are not required to be taken into account. The RC has relied upon the judgment without properly reading the judgment. Moreover, in ***Lal Chand's case (supra)***, the Supreme Court has already clarified the law.

5.20 The RC has also overlooked the assessment of the market value of the acquired land vide notification dated 21.02.2000 of villages, namely Sohana, Mauli Baidwan, Raipur Khurd and Lakhnaur. The High Court (***RFA No. 3004 of 2006 (Surjit Singh v. State of Punjab and Another)***) assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹19,85,700/- per acre. The Supreme Court in ***Paramjit Panag and Another v. State of Punjab (Civil Appeal No. 331/2014)*** assessed the market value @ ₹21,85,700/- by making addition of ₹2,00,000/- per acre (₹23,85,700/- per acre). The acquired land vide notification dated 21.02.2000 abuts the acquired land vide notification dated 23.01.2004. The difference between the two notifications was only 11 months.

5.21 With regard to fourth submission of Mr. Jain, it would be noticed that this Court has held that the RC has erred in assessing the market value @ ₹71,40,000/- per acre. Hence, the submission lacks substance.

5.22 With respect to the first and second submission of Mr. Kaushal, it would be noticed that this Court has not assessed the market value on the basis of average price of sale exemplars No. 855 dated 12.06.2006, No. 856 dated 12.06.2006, No. 1985 dated 20.09.2006, No. 6665 dated 31.03.2006, No. 154 dated 10.04.2008 and 1240 dated 11.07.2006 produced in the matter related to village Mauli Baidwan. Hence, the question of applying of appropriate cut does not arise. The learned counsel has also relied upon the judgment passed in *Horrnal (Deceased) through his LR and Others v. State of Haryana and Others* 2024 SCCOnline SC 2990. This Court has carefully read the judgment. The Supreme Court found that Ex.P5 sale instance is of similar nature to the acquired land. The aforesaid judgment was passed in peculiar facts of the case. In that case, Ex.P5 was not an exemplar sale instance of the period post notification u/s 4 whereas in these cases, all the sale instances No. 855, 856, 1985, 6665, 154 and 1240 are with respect to the period post 23.01.2004.

5.23 Mr. Kaushal, in Regular First Appeal No. 4608 of 2013, has filed an application for permission to lead additional evidence in order to produce the copies of two judgments passed by the RC on 13.03.2015 and 24.08.2013. Vide judgment dated 13.03.2015, the RC assessed the market value of the acquired land located in village Manak Majra vide notification u/s 4 issued on 19.04.2004 @ ₹2,30,10,000/- per acre. Similarly, with respect to the acquisition of land in village Kambala vide notification u/s 4

dated 30.09.2005, the RC has assessed the market value @ ₹1,31,22,535/- vide judgment dated 24.08.2013. Both these awards pertain to acquisition of land by separate notification with respect to the land located in different villages. The appeals against the RCs judgments are pending. Hence, it would not be appropriate to rely upon the same.

**6. Decision**

6.1 Consequently, all the appeals filed by the GMADA are allowed, whereas that of the landowners shall stand dismissed.

6.2 The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, in all the appeals shall stand disposed of.

**(Anil Kshetarpal)  
Judge**

**February 25, 2025**

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
1.	RFA-1124-2013	Rajinder Kaur And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
2.	RFA-1125-2013	Amrao Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
3.	RFA-1409-2013	Rajinder Kaur Vs. State Of Punjab
4.	RFA-1410-2013	Amrao Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
5.	RFA-1411-2013	Davinder Pal Singh Vs. Land Acquisition Collector & Others
6.	RFA-1412-2013	Amrao Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
7.	RFA-1413-2013	Kaka Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
8.	RFA-1414-2013	Ramanjeet Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
9.	RFA-1415-2013	Parvinder Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
10.	RFA-1416-2013	Harmit Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
11.	RFA-1417-2013	Manmohan Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
12.	RFA-1418-2013	Mohan Lal Saitia Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
13.	RFA-1419-2013	Gurdev Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
14.	RFA-1420-2013	Dharam Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
15.	RFA-1421-2013	Manpreet Singh Vs. Land Acquisition Collector & Another
16.	RFA-1422-2013	Mewa Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**31**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
17.	RFA-1423-2013	Paramjit Kaur Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
18.	RFA-1988-2013	Sumit Kumar Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
19.	RFA-1989-2013	Anil Kaushik And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
20.	RFA-2283-2013	Rajeev Nagpal And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
21.	RFA-2284-2013	Harbans Kaur & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
22.	RFA-2285-2013	Harpreet Singh Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
23.	RFA-2286-2013	Gian Chand And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
24.	RFA-2288-2013	Rajinder Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
25.	RFA-2289-2013	Ajaib Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab
26.	RFA-2614-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sushil Kumar Attri And Others
27.	RFA-2904-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amarjit Singh And Others
28.	RFA-2905-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Nazar Singh And Another
29.	RFA-2906-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Adish Jain And Others
30.	RFA-2907-2013 with XOBJR-1-CI-2017	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kuldip Singh And Others
31.	RFA-2908-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Darshan Singh And Others
32.	RFA-2909-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Parveen Bajwa And Another
33.	RFA-2910-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Pritam Singh And Others
34.	RFA-2911-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mewa Singh And Others
35.	RFA-2912-2013 with XOBJR-98-CI-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ajaib Singh And Others
36.	RFA-2914-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harpreet Singh And Another
37.	RFA-2913-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Updesh Jaspal And Others
38.	RFA-2915-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Manpreet Singh And Another
39.	RFA-2916-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Paramjit Kaur And Another
40.	RFA-2917-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinderjit Singh And Another
41.	RFA-2918-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinderjit Singh And Another
42.	RFA-2919-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinderjit And Others
43.	RFA-2920-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinderjit Singh And Others
44.	RFA-2921-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Baldev

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**32**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
		Singh And Others
45.	RFA-2922-2013 with XOBJR-80-2023	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Devi Charan And Others
46.	RFA-2924-2013 with XOBJR-5-CI-2018	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Karnail Singh And Others
47.	RFA-2923-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Santokh Singh And Others
48.	RFA-2925-2013 with XOBJR-8-2023	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Labh Singh And Others
49.	RFA-2926-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Parvinder Singh
50.	RFA-2927-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sohan Singh And Another
51.	RFA-2928-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amarjit Singh And Another
52.	RFA-2929-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Dharam Singh And Another
53.	RFA-2930-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Vijay Kumar Jain And Another
54.	RFA-2931-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Balbir Kaur
55.	RFA-2932-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harchand Singh And Another
56.	RFA-2933-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ramanjeet Singh And Another
57.	RFA-2934-2013 & XOBJR-79-CI-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinderjit Singh
58.	RFA-2937-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Dara Singh And Others
59.	RFA-2936-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sucha Singh And Another
60.	RFA-2938-2013 & XOBJR-77-CI-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdeep Singh And Others
61.	RFA-2940-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amrao Singh And Others
62.	RFA-2939-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jai Narain And Others
63.	RFA-2941-2013 with XOBJR-70-CI-2018	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Devinder Singh And Another
64.	RFA-2942-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harmit Singh And Another
65.	RFA-2943-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amra Singh And Another
66.	RFA-2944-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sher Singh And Others
67.	RFA-2945-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Rajeev Nagpal And Others
68.	RFA-2946-2013 with XOBJR-10-2024	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Palwinder Singh And Others
69.	RFA-3222-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amarjit

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**33**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
		Singh And Another
70.	RFA-3223-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdev Singh And Another
71.	RFA-3224-2013 with XOBJR-5-2023	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurcharan Singh And Others
72.	RFA-3231-2013 with XOBJR-51-2024	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kultar Singh And Others
73.	RFA-3226-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Rajinder Nath And Others
74.	RFA-3232-2013 with XOBJR-80-CI-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jyoti Jain And Another
75.	RFA-3227-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur And Others
76.	RFA-3228-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Lalit Jain And Another
77.	RFA-3233-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur And Others.
78.	RFA-3229-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur And Others
79.	RFA-3234-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Rajinder Singh And Others.
80.	RFA-3230-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amrao Singh And Another
81.	RFA-3235-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harbans Kaur And Others
82.	RFA-3236-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bhajan Singh And Others
83.	RFA-3237-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Malkiat Singh And Others
84.	RFA-3770-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mohinder Kaur And Others
85.	RFA-3774-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinder Kumar And Others
86.	RFA-3777-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kulwinder Singh & Others
87.	RFA-3779-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdev Singh And Another
88.	RFA-3781-2013 & XOBJR-76-CI-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdeep Singh & Others
89.	RFA-3990-2013	Santokh Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
90.	RFA-3991-2013	Poonam Deep Kaur & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
91.	RFA-3992-2013	Kulwinder Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
92.	RFA-3993-2013	Amra Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
93.	RFA-3994-2013	Amarjit Singh Bajwa Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
94.	RFA-3995-2013	Lalit Jain & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
95.	RFA-4038-2013	Surinder Kumar Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
96.	RFA-4039-2013	Surinderjit Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**34**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
97.	RFA-4042-2013	Gurdev Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
98.	RFA-4043-2013	Bhajan Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
99.	RFA-4044-2013	Amarjit Singh Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
100.	RFA-4045-2013	Mohinder Kaur & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
101.	RFA-4046-2013	Ujagar Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
102.	RFA-4047-2013	Harchand Singh Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
103.	RFA-4048-2013	Mohinder Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
104.	RFA-4049-2013	Balbir Kaur Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
105.	RFA-4050-2013	Poonam Deep Kaur & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
106.	RFA-4051-2013	Kultar Singh @ Avtar Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
107.	RFA-4052-2013	Poonam Deep Kaur & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
108.	RFA-4053-2013	Baldev Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
109.	RFA-4054-2013	Sher Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
110.	RFA-4055-2013	Gurdev Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
111.	RFA-4056-2013	Surinderjit Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
112.	RFA-4057-2013	Surinderjit Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
113.	RFA-4058-2013	Amarjit Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
114.	RFA-4059-2013	Pal Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab
115.	RFA-4264-2013	Darshan Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
116.	RFA-4265-2013	Rulda Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
117.	RFA-4266-2013	Surinderjit Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
118.	RFA-4452-2013	Rachan Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
119.	RFA-4491-2013	Karnail Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
120.	RFA-4628-2013	Kanwar Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
121.	RFA-4629-2013	Parveen Bajwa Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
122.	RFA-4630-2013	Lalit Jain & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
123.	RFA-4631-2013	Baldev Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
124.	RFA-4632-2013	Nazar Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
125.	RFA-4633-2013	Pawan Kumar Vs. State Of Punjab
126.	RFA-4634-2013	Savitri Devi & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
127.	RFA-4635-2013	Updesh Jaspal & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
128.	RFA-4646-2013	Rajinder Nath & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
129.	RFA-4664-2013 & XOBJR-78-CI-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur And Others
130.	RFA-4727-2013	Amrik Singh And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
131.	RFA-4985-2013	Pawan Kumar Vs. State Of Punjab
132.	RFA-1126-2013	Bhagat Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
133.	RFA-1424-2013	Kamaljit Singh Vs. State Of Punjab

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
134.	RFA-1425-2013	Ajit Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
135.	RFA-1426-2013	Balbir Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
136.	RFA-2465-2013	Bakhtaur Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
137.	RFA-2466-2013	Karnail Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
138.	RFA-2615-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jaspal Singh And Others
139.	RFA-2899-2013	Paramjit Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
140.	RFA-3144-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Pankaj Sood And Others
141.	RFA-3145-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurnam Singh And Another
142.	RFA-3146-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Raghbir Singh And Another
143.	RFA-3147-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ajit Singh And Others
144.	RFA-3148-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Labh Kaur And Another
145.	RFA-3149-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bakhtaur Singh And Another
146.	RFA-3150-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harmail Singh And Others.
147.	RFA-3151-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Raghbir Singh And Another
148.	RFA-3152-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Pawan Kumar And Another
149.	RFA-3153-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bahadur Singh And Another
150.	RFA-3154-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kesar Singh And Another
151.	RFA-3155-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Piara Singh And Another
152.	RFA-3156-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Baldev Singh And Another
153.	RFA-4027-2013	Pawan Kumar Vs. State Of Punjab
154.	RFA-4028-2013	Gursharan Kaur Vs. State Of Punjab
155.	RFA-4293-2013	Mewa Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
156.	RFA-4295-2013	Surinder Rani & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
157.	RFA-4608-2013	Jaspal Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
158.	RFA-4610-2013	Baldev Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
159.	RFA-4611-2013	Kesar Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab
160.	RFA-4612-2013	Dharminder Kumar & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
161.	RFA-4613-2013	Pankaj Sood & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
162.	RFA-4614-2013	Harmail Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
163.	RFA-4615-2013	Raj Bala @ Raj Kumari Vs. State Of Punjab
164.	RFA-4616-2013	Raghbir Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
165.	RFA-4617-2013	Harchand Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
166.	RFA-4618-2013	Labh Kaur Vs. State Of Punjab

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**36**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
167.	RFA-4619-2013	Jaspal Kaur & Others Vs. State Of Punjab
168.	RFA-4620-2013	Raghibir Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
169.	RFA-4621-2013	Piara Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
170.	RFA-4622-2013	Ram Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
171.	RFA-4623-2013	Harpal Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab
172.	RFA-4624-2013	Harjinder Singh (Deceased) through his LRs Vs. State Of Punjab
173.	RFA-4625-2013	Labh Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
174.	RFA-4626-2013	Bhagat Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
175.	RFA-4627-2013	Jarnail Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
176.	RFA-4824-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Parvinder Kaur And Others.
177.	RFA-4825-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gursharan Kaur And Another
178.	RFA-4827-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Dial Singh And Others.
179.	RFA-4828-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bhagat Singh & Another
180.	RFA-4829-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mewa Singh & Another
181.	RFA-4984-2013	Jagir Kaur And Others Vs. State Of Punjab
182.	RFA-5486-2013	Parkashwati And Others Vs. State Of Punjab
183.	RFA-5631-2013 & XOBJR-56-CI-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdev Kaur And Another
184.	RFA-5632-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Baldev Singh & Others
185.	RFA-5633-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jarnail Singh & Others
186.	RFA-5634-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Labh Singh & Others
187.	RFA-5635-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinder Rani & Others
188.	RFA-5636-2013 with XOBJR-52-2024	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Baldev Kaur & Others
189.	RFA-5637-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bakhatur Singh And Others
190.	RFA-5638-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Karnail Singh And Another
191.	RFA-5639-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Parkashwati & Others
192.	RFA-5640-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Angrez Kaur & Others
193.	RFA-5641-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Raghibir Singh & Others.
194.	RFA-5643-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Naib Singh And Others.
195.	RFA-5644-2013 & XOBJR-57-CI-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mohan Singh And Another

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**37**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
196.	RFA-5645-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kamaljit Singh And Another
197.	RFA-5646-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sukhdev Singh & Others
198.	RFA-5647-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harbhajan Singh & Others
199.	RFA-5648-2013 with XOBJR-23-2019	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bant Singh & Others
200.	RFA-5649-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Paramjit Kaur And Another
201.	RFA-5650-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Dharminder Kumar & Others
202.	RFA-5651-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Raj Bala And Another
203.	RFA-5652-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bhagat Singh And Another
204.	RFA-5653-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harjinder Singh And Another
205.	RFA-5654-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Balbir Singh & Others
206.	RFA-5655-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Paramjit Singh And Another
207.	RFA-5656-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Himmat Singh & Others
208.	RFA-5657-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jaspal Kaur & Others
209.	RFA-5658-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harpal Singh & Another
210.	RFA-5676-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harbhajan Singh & Others
211.	RFA-2061-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Jaspal Singh And Others
212.	RFA-2062-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Sushil Kumar Attri
213.	RFA-4418-2013	Khushbagh Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
214.	RFA-1211-2013	Amarjit Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
215.	RFA-1672-2013	Sumit Kumar Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
216.	RFA-1427-2013	Gurmail Singh Vs. State Of Punjab
217.	RFA-2281-2013	Sushil Kumar Attri Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
218.	RFA-2282-2013	Amarjit Singh And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
219.	RFA-2287-2013	Himat Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
220.	RFA-2290-2013	Sushil Kumar Attri Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
221.	RFA-2376-2013	Rajeev Nagpal And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
222.	RFA-2378-2013	Anil Kaushik And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
223.	RFA-2592-2013	Jagjit Singh Vs. State Of Punjab

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**38**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
224.	RFA-2823-2013	Adish Jain And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
225.	RFA-2935-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Manmohan Singh And Another
226.	RFA-3376-2013	Vijay Kumar & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
227.	RFA-3771-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Rachan Singh & Others
228.	RFA-3772-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amrik Singh And Others
229.	RFA-3773-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Savitri Devi & Others
230.	RFA-3775-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sumit Kumar And Another
231.	RFA-3776-2013 with XOBJR-124-2021	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Karnail Singh And Another
232.	RFA-3778-2013 with XOBJR-49-CI-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurvir Kaur Gill And Others
233.	RFA-3780-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kaka Singh And Others
234.	RFA-3782-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Davinder Pal Singh And Others
235.	RFA-3783-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Baldev Singh & Others
236.	RFA-4609-2013	Amar Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
237.	RFA-4663-2013 with XOBJR-17-CI-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Nasib Singh And Others
238.	RFA-4826-2013.	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amarjit Singh And Another
239.	RFA-4830-2013 with XOBJR-135-CI-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harjit Singh And Others.
240.	RFA-5487-2013	Sukhdev Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
241.	RFA-6127-2013	Gian Singh & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
242.	RFA-6128-2013	Sadhu Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
243.	RFA-6129-2013	Mewa Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
244.	RFA-6130-2013	Gurdial Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
245.	RFA-6131-2013	Palo Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
246.	RFA-6132-2013	Jasbir Singh And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
247.	RFA-6134-2013	Gurwinder Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
248.	RFA-6133-2013	Jasbir Singh Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
249.	RFA-6695-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdev Singh & Another
250.	RFA-6696-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ujagar Sigh & Another
251.	RFA-6697-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bhag Singh And Another
252.	RFA-6698-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ram Pal And Another
253.	RFA-6699-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amrik

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**39**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
		Singh And Others
254.	RFA-6700-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Pawan Kumar & Another
255.	RFA-6701-2013 with XOBJR-18-2023	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amrik Singh & Others
256.	RFA-6702-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gian Chand And Another
257.	RFA-6703-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Narajan Singh & Others
258.	RFA-6704-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ajaib Singh & Others
259.	RFA-6705-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Pal Singh And Others
260.	RFA-6706-2013 with XOBJR-8-CI-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Manjit Singh & Another
261.	RFA-6707-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mohinder Singh And Others
262.	RFA-6708-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bhajan Singh And Others
263.	RFA-6709-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amarjit Singh And Another
264.	RFA-6710-2013 with XOBJR-17-2023	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Baljit Singh And Others
265.	RFA-6711-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Rajinder Kaur And Others
266.	RFA-6712-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Swaran Singh & Others
267.	RFA-6713-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kanwar Singh And Another
268.	RFA-6714-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amrao Singh And Others
269.	RFA-6715-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jagjit Singh & Another
270.	RFA-6716-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mohan Lal Saitia And Another
271.	RFA-6717-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurmail Singh And Another
272.	RFA-6718-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Vijay Kumar & Others
273.	RFA-50-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sumit Kumar And Others
274.	RFA-51-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Amarjit Singh And Others
275.	RFA-202-2014	Bachan Singh And Others. Vs. State Of Punjab And Others.
276.	RFA-203-2014	Sucha Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
277.	RFA-821-2014	Rashbhinder Singh And Another Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
278.	RFA-1442-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Narmail Singh & Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**40**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
279.	RFA-1443-2014 with XOBJR-309-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurmail Singh And Another
280.	RFA-1444-2014 with XOBJR-308-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurmail Singh & Others
281.	RFA-1445-2014 with XOBJR-311-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurmit Singh & Others
282.	RFA-1446-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gian Singh & Others
283.	RFA-1447-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jasbir Singh & Others
284.	RFA-1448-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mewa Singh & Others
285.	RFA-1449-2014 with XOBJR-162-CI-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sohan Singh & Others
286.	RFA-1450-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Joginder Kaur & Another
287.	RFA-1451-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurwinder Singh
288.	RFA-1452-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdial Singh & Another
289.	RFA-1453-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Palo And Another
290.	RFA-1454-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jasbir Singh And Another
291.	RFA-1455-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sucha Singh & Others.
292.	RFA-1456-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurmukh Singh & Others
293.	RFA-1457-2014 with XOBJR-251-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Kuldeep Singh & Others
294.	RFA-1458-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sadhu Singh And Another
295.	RFA-1459-2014 with XOBJR-9-CI-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ajit Singh & Others
296.	RFA-1484-2014 with XOBJR-348-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Surinderpal Singh & Others.
297.	RFA-1460-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Rashbhinder Singh & Others.
298.	RFA-3492-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amrao Singh And Another
299.	RFA-3491-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur & Another
300.	RFA-1011-2016 with XOBJR-246-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Raj Kumari And Another
301.	RFA-1800-2017 with XOBJR-13-CI-2018	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Avtar Singh And Another
302.	RFA-3493-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Labh Singh & Others
303.	RFA-3005-2016 with XOBJR-2-CI-2017 with XOBJR-326-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bhag Singh And Others
304.	RFA-3494-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Ajaib Singh & Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases****41**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
305.	RFA-3496-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Devi Charan & Others
306.	RFA-3495-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Updesh Jaspal & Others
307.	RFA-3497-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Lalit Jain And Another
308.	RFA-3498-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Ramanjeet Singh
309.	RFA-3499-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Santokh Singh & Others
310.	RFA-3500-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Dara Singh & Others
311.	RFA-3501-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Palwinder Singh & Others
312.	RFA-3502-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Paramjit Kaur
313.	RFA-3503-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Manmohan Singh
314.	RFA-3504-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurcharan Singh & Others
315.	RFA-3506-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harchand Singh
316.	RFA-3505-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Rajinder Nath & Another
317.	RFA-3507-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Pritam Singh & Others
318.	RFA-3510-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Mewa Singh & Others
319.	RFA-3508-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harpreet Singh
320.	RFA-3509-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur & Another
321.	RFA-3511-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amra Singh
322.	RFA-3512-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Surinderjit Singh And Others
323.	RFA-3513-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Baldev Singh & Others
324.	RFA-3514-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurdeep Singh & Others
325.	RFA-3515-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Sucha Singh And Another
326.	RFA-3516-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur & Others
327.	RFA-3517-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Devinder Singh
328.	RFA-3518-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Surinderjit Singh & Others
329.	RFA-3519-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harmit Singh
330.	RFA-3520-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Nazar Singh
331.	RFA-3521-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Parvinder Singh And Another
332.	RFA-3522-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Surinderjit Singh & Another
333.	RFA-3523-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Sher Singh & Others
334.	RFA-3525-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Kuldip Singh & Others
335.	RFA-3524-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amarjit Singh & Others
336.	RFA-3526-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Malkiat Singh & Others
337.	RFA-3527-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Sohan Singh Andanother
338.	RFA-3528-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amarjit Singh And Another
339.	RFA-3529-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Karnail Singh & Others
340.	RFA-3530-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Parveen Bajwa And Another
341.	RFA-3531-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Kultar Singh & Others
342.	RFA-3532-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurdev Singh And Another
343.	RFA-3533-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bhajan Singh & Others
344.	RFA-3534-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Balbir Kaur And Another
345.	RFA-3535-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Hardip Kaur Gill And Another
346.	RFA-3536-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Surinderjit Singh & Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**42**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
347.	RFA-3537-2014	Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estate Mohali Vs. Manpreet Singh And Another
348.	RFA-3538-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Surinderjit Singh & Another
349.	RFA-3539-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Jyoti Jain & Others
350.	RFA-3540-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Lalit Jain & Others
351.	RFA-3541-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Sumit Kumar And Another
352.	RFA-3542-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amrik Singh & Others
353.	RFA-3543-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Pal Singh & Another
354.	RFA-3544-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Karnail Singh And Another
355.	RFA-3545-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Ujjager Singh
356.	RFA-3563-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Kulwinder Singh & Others
357.	RFA-3546-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh
358.	RFA-3562-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Harbans Kaur And Others
359.	RFA-3564-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Vijay Kumar And Another
360.	RFA-3547-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amrik Singh & Others
361.	RFA-3565-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Darshan Singh & Others
362.	RFA-3548-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bhajan Singh And Others
363.	RFA-3549-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Poonam Deep Kaur And Others
364.	RFA-3550-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Baldev Singh & Others
365.	RFA-3566-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Jai Narain & Others
366.	RFA-3567-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Rachan Singh And Others
367.	RFA-3551-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bhag Singh
368.	RFA-3568-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurdeep Singh And Others
369.	RFA-3570-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Adish Jain And Others
370.	RFA-3552-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amarjit Singh
371.	RFA-3569-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Swaran Singh
372.	RFA-3571-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Dharam Singh And Another
373.	RFA-3553-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Mohinder Singh
374.	RFA-3572-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Rajeev Nagpal And Others
375.	RFA-3575-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Savitri Devi And Others
376.	RFA-3554-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Nasib Singh And Others
377.	RFA-3555-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Ajaib Singh & Others
378.	RFA-3573-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Surinder Kumar And Another
379.	RFA-3574-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Manjit Singh
380.	RFA-3556-2014	State Of Punjab And Another Vs. Amrao Singh And Others
381.	RFA-3576-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurvir Kaur Gill And Another
382.	RFA-3557-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Naranjan Singh & Others
383.	RFA-3577-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Kanwar Singh

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**43**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
384.	RFA-3558-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Ram Pal
385.	RFA-3578-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amarjit Singh & Others
386.	RFA-3560-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Mohinder Kaur And Others
387.	RFA-3559-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Kaka Singh & Others
388.	RFA-3579-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Devinder Pal Singh And Another
389.	RFA-3580-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Vijay Kumar And Others
390.	RFA-3561-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurdev Singh & Others
391.	RFA-3582-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amrik Singh And Others
392.	RFA-3581-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gian Chand And Others
393.	RFA-3583-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amrao Singh
394.	RFA-3584-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Mohan Lal Saitia
395.	RFA-3585-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Rajinder Singh
396.	RFA-3586-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurdev Singh
397.	RFA-3587-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurmail Singh
398.	RFA-3588-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Pawan Kumar
399.	RFA-3589-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Baljit Singh And Others
400.	RFA-3590-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Rajinder Kaur
401.	RFA-4230-2014	Vijay Kumar And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
402.	RFA-7263-2014	Vijay Kumar Jain Vs. State Of Punjab And Others
403.	RFA-9436-2014 with XOBJR-24-CI-2018	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Baljinder Singh & Others
404.	RFA-9437-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bachan Singh & Others
405.	RFA-9439-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mohinder Singh & Others
406.	RFA-9440-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Mohinder Singh & Others
407.	RFA-4294-2013	Angrez Kaur & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
408.	RFA-2126-2014	Gurdev Kaur & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
409.	RFA-4266-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Khushbagh Singh & Others
410.	RFA-9760-2014	Ramanjit Singh & Another Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
411.	RFA-9825-2014	Naib Singh Vs. Punjab State And Another
412.	RFA-10343-2014	Mohinder Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
413.	RFA-418-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Gurdev Kaur & Another
414.	RFA-1903-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Lal Singh And Others
415.	RFA-2258-2014	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority & Others Vs. Kishan Dev @ Krishan Dev & Others
416.	RFA-2259-2014 with XOBJR-35-CI-2017	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority & Others Vs. Gurmail Singh & Another
417.	RFA-2260-2014 &	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority & Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**44**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
	XOBJR-14-CI-2014	Vs. Devi Dayal & Another
418.	RFA-2261-2014 & XOBJR-23-CI-2014	Greater Mohali Area Dev Authority (Gmada) Vs. Daljinder Singh & Others
419.	RFA-2262-2014 & XOBJR-55-CI-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Karnail Singh & Another
420.	RFA-2434-2014 with XOBJR-325-CI-2016	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority & Others Vs. Gurmail Singh & Others
421.	RFA-1707-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (Gmada) Vs. Surinder Rani And Others
422.	RFA-2342-2015	Surinder Rani And Others Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
423.	RFA-3891-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Amarjit Singh
424.	RFA-3892-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Raghbir Singh & Another
425.	RFA-3893-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Mewa Singh
426.	RFA-3894-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Jarnail Singh
427.	RFA-3895-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Parvinder Kaur
428.	RFA-3896-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Ajit Singh & Others
429.	RFA-3897-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bakhatur Singh
430.	RFA-3898-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harbhajan Singh & Others
431.	RFA-3899-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harchand Singh
432.	RFA-3900-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Angrez Kaur
433.	RFA-3901-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Paramjit Singh
434.	RFA-3902-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gursharan Kaur
435.	RFA-3903-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Dial Singh And Others
436.	RFA-3904-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harjit Singh & Others
437.	RFA-3905-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harmail Singh And Others
438.	RFA-3906-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurnam Singh
439.	RFA-3907-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Baldev Singh
440.	RFA-3909-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Balbir Singh And Others
441.	RFA-3908-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Dharminder Kumar & Others
442.	RFA-3910-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Baldev Kaur And Others
443.	RFA-3911-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Kesar Singh And Another
444.	RFA-3912-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Parkashwati And Others
445.	RFA-3913-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harjinder Singh
446.	RFA-3914-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Himmat Singh And Others
447.	RFA-3915-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Labh Kaur
448.	RFA-3916-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Sukhdev Singh And Others
449.	RFA-3917-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Naib Singh
450.	RFA-3918-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Raghbir Singh
451.	RFA-3919-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bant Singh And Others
452.	RFA-3920-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Pankaj Sood And Others
453.	RFA-3921-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Gurdev Kaur
454.	RFA-3922-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Paramjit Kaur
455.	RFA-3923-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Jaspal Kaur & Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 3225 of 2013 (O&M) And  
Other Connected Cases**

**45**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Party's Name</b>
456.	RFA-3924-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Labh Singh And Others
457.	RFA-3925-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bahadur Singh
458.	RFA-3926-2014 with XOBJR-36-2022	State Of Punjab Vs. Baldev Singh & Others
459.	RFA-3927-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bakhtaur Singh
460.	RFA-3928-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Surinder Rani & Others
461.	RFA-3929-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harbhajan Singh And Others
462.	RFA-3930-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Piara Singh
463.	RFA-3931-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Pawan Kumar
464.	RFA-3932-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bhagat Singh
465.	RFA-3933-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Mohan Singh
466.	RFA-3934-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Raj Bala Alias Raj Kumari
467.	RFA-3935-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Raghbir Singh
468.	RFA-3936-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Harpal Singh & Another
469.	RFA-3937-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Bhagat Singh
470.	RFA-3938-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Kamaljit Singh
471.	RFA-3939-2014	State Of Punjab Vs. Karnail Singh
472.	RFA-994-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Rajeev Nagpal & Another
473.	RFA-995-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Vijay Kumar & Others
474.	RFA-996-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Jai Narain & Others.
475.	RFA-2130-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Sushil Kumar Attri And Others
476.	RFA-2131-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Ramanjit Singh And Others
477.	RFA-5414-2015	Sukhdeep Singh And Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Others
478.	RFA-4213-2016	Sucha Singh Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
479.	RFA-4261-2016	Pritam Singh Since Deceased Through LRs & Others Vs. State Of Punjab & Another
480.	RFA-1410-2017	Parvinder Kaur Vs. State Of Punjab
481.	RFA-2129-2015 with XOBJR-148-CI-2015	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Bhagat Singh And Others s
482.	RFA-3680-2018	Rajinder Nath Vs. State Of Punjab And Another
483.	RFA-5642-2013	Greater Mohali Area Development Authority Vs. Harchand Singh & Others

**(Anil Kshetarpal)  
Judge**

**February 25, 2025**  
"DK"