



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

216

COCP-53-2025

Date of Decision: 01.09.2025

Virender Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Vineet Garg and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, AAG, Haryana.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of order dated 20.03.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-20149-2016, titled as 'Virender vs. State of Haryana and others' and other connected petition(s) which were disposed of in terms of order dated 13.03.2024 passed in CWP-2152-2020, titled as 'Ashish Sharma and others vs. State of Haryana and others' whereby the respondents have been directed as under:-

“43. In view of the above, the writ petitions are disposed of in the terms and conditions mentioned herein before. Let the respondent-State pass appropriate speaking order as directed herein before. In case, any of the petitioner is found entitled for regularization/retrospective regularization of services from the date employees junior to him/her has been regularized, they will be granted the said date of regularization otherwise due reasons be mentioned in the speaking order keeping in mind the directions given by the Court herein before so as to decide the eligibility of each



petitioners qua their respective claims. Further in case any of the petitioners is found entitled for regularization of his services retrospectively the said benefit shall be given notionally, no arrears will be granted to the petitioners up to the date of filing of the respective petitions. The petitioners will be entitled for fixing of their salaries from the date of regularization notionally and the arrears will only be extended from the date of filing of their respective petitions before this Court and if any of the petitioners has already retired, his/her pensionary benefits will also be fixed/ revised and arrears will also be extended from the date of filing the petition by the said petitioner.”

2. Even in the second round of hearing, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that against the aforesaid order dated 13.03.2024, an appeal bearing LPA-2562-2024, titled as 'State of Haryana and others vs. Virender', has been filed by the respondents which was dismissed by a Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 04.11.2024; against which SLP (Civil) bearing Diary No. 24369-2025, has been filed by the respondents in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 29.05.2025, has been pleased to direct that '*operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed*'. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in view of the above, no contempt is made out against the respondents.

4. A copy of the order dated 29.05.2025, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, handed over in Court by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record.

5. Heard.



6. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, the same stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

7. Rule stands discharged.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

01.09.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No