



RSA-2398-1999 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(206)

RSA-2398-1999 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 13.05.2025

Ram Partap

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Appellant-plaintiff had filed the suit in the year 1992 for declaration and mandatory injunction to the effect that the acts of the defendants in not allowing the plaintiff to mark his presence at his place of posting and non releasing of salary etc. were illegal. Other prayers had also been made in the suit. The suit of the plaintiff/present appellant was dismissed and the appeal filed by the present appellant was also dismissed.

2. A perusal of the paper-book would show that there is no interim order in favour of the appellant.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant had died and no one on behalf of the LRs of the appellant has



RSA-2398-1999 (O&M)

-2-

contacted the office of the counsel so as to inform whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not and has prayed that the present appeal be disposed of at this stage but liberty be granted to the LRs of the appellant to revive the same in case any cause survives.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of, at this stage, with liberty to the legal representatives of the appellant to revive the present appeal in case any cause survives.

4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the legal representatives of the appellant on the address mentioned in the memo of parties.

May 13, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No