



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-24321-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.08.2025

Narinderpal Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel submits that despite the fact that the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment recommended by the office of Director General of Police, Punjab, vide letter dated 15.03.2019 (Annexure P5), the same has been rejected without assigning any reason vide impugned order dated 29.04.2019 (Annexure P6), even though as per the Policy dated 21.11.2002 (Annexure P1), if there is an earning member in the family such claim can be considered, in wake of which, he states that the matter requires to be reconsidered.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decide afresh taking note of the aforesaid submission and Policy, within a period of 4 months, uninfluenced by the order impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

22.08.2025
ashok

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No