



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(118)

CR-5251-2025

Date of Decision:-06.08.2025

HARBANS LAL

... Petitioner

Versus

RAM SARUP

... Respondent

--

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The revisionist has filed this revision petition for quashing of order dated 24.07.2025 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Additional District Judge, Sirsa whereby the stay granted in favour of the revisionist has not been extended and the Executing Court has issued conditional warrants against revisionist for 11.08.2025.

2. It is settled law that stay order once granted is deemed to have been extended till the same is vacated by passing a speaking order. Accordingly, the omission in the order dated 24.07.2025 is causing serious prejudice to the revisionist and is a material mistake on the part of the learned Appellate Court. Relief claimed by the revisionist would not be served by quashing the order, rather an implied '**extension of stay**' be read in the order and accordingly revision petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.



3. However, observations made herein above may not to be construed as opinion on the merits of the case. Same are purely confined to the present controversy and deliberations.

06.08.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No