



242

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-1752-2015 (O&M)  
DECIDED ON: 19.05.2025**

**RAM NIWAS AND ANR****.....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

In the present case, the notice of motion was issued on 25.05.2015, and the delay was condoned on 16.07.2015. Upon the request of the learned counsel for the petitioners to present arguments and to submit a copy of the judgment pertaining to the co-accused, the matter was adjourned to 19.10.2015. Since then, repeated adjournments have been sought on behalf of the petitioner, as is evident from the perusal of the order sheet.

On 27.03.2017, the Court was compelled to pass an order granting the petitioner last opportunity to comply with the order dated 16.07.2015 i.e., to place on record copy of the judgment of the co-accused and to address the arguments. Still the aforesaid part was not performed by the petitioner and the order remained non-complied on 03.07.2017 and further opportunity to do the same stood declined and the case was fixed for arguments. Since then despite

summoning of the Lower Court Record, arguments have not been addressed as either to inspect the record or due to absence of the counsel for the petitioner hearing was being deferred. On 21.12.2017 it was ordered that the counsel for the revisionists be notified to address the arguments on 20.03.2018 as he was absent on that day and thereafter on appearance again time was sought to address the arguments as is evident from the order dated 31.08.2018, 14.11.2018, 18.10.2019, 24.01.2023, 21.04.2023 and lastly on 22.04.2024, when this Court passed the following order:-

*“There is request for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel appearing for the petitioner on the ground that arguing counsel is busy in making arrangements on account of his daughter’s marriage. Though last opportunity was granted in March, 2017 yet in the interest of justice, adjourned to 13.08.2024 as one more last opportunity”*

Since nobody has turned up to assist the Court, I have no hesitation to record that the petitioner seems to least interest in the instant petition and is not willing to pursue the matter any further.

Hence the petition is therefore, ordered to be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending application(s), if any shall disposed off, accordingly.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**19.05.2025**

*Meenu*

*Whether speaking/reasoned*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable*              *Yes/No*