

**CRM-M-62520-2024 (O&M)**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(228)

**CRM-M-62520-2024 (O&M)**Date of Decision:- **07.08.2025**

Rahat Pabley

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present: Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Farshad Kohli, Advocate for

Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1. The instant petition has been filed seeking quashing of **FIR No.50** dated **23.08.2022** under **Sections 354-D, 506 and 509** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 204 IPC added later on) and Section 67 of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008, registered at Cyber Crime Police Station Gurugram, District Gurugram, (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 29.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.12.2024 directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated 24.12.2024 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Gurugram, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

3. Learned State Counsel and learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

**CRM-M-62520-2024 (O&M)**

2

4. Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal proceedings in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another 2012(10) SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another 2014(6) SCC 406*** that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

5. Consequently, this petition is allowed. **FIR No.50** dated **23.08.2022** under **Sections 354-D, 506 and 509** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 204 IPC added later on) and Section 67 of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008, registered at Cyber Crime Police Station Gurugram, District Gurugram, (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioner, subject to payment of cost of Rs. 15,000/- to be deposited by petitioner within one month from today in ***Spinal Rehab Centre, Chandigarh, Plot No. 1, Madhya Marg, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh, 0172-4610311.***

6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(ALOK JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**August 07, 2025**

Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No