



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

COCP No.2171 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision :-31.07.2025

Renu Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

**A. Sreenivas, IAS, Managing Director, Dskshin Haryana Bijli
Vitran Nigam**

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate with
Ms. Kiranpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Vasundhra Asija Bhandari, Advocate
for the respondent.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for not complying the order dated 12.5.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-19073-2021 titled as “Subhash Chander Sharma vs. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others”, vide which direction was issued to respondent No.1 “.....to pass an appropriate speaking order on the legal notice dated 21.4.2020 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order”.

Learned counsel for the respondent has filed the Compliance Report dated 29.7.2025 by way of affidavit of Shri Jeet Ram, Executive Engineer, Sub Urban Division, DHBVN, Sirsa. The



same is taken on record. Copy thereof is supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is pointed out by learned counsel for the respondent that direction of this Court vide order dated 12.5.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-19073-2021 titled as “Subhash Chander Sharma vs. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others” has been complied with and payment has been released to the petitioner by way of Cheque No.075255 dated 25.7.2025 (Annexure R-1) drawn at HDFC Bank, amounting to Rs.5,42,590/- in favour of petitioner; thus, no cause survives in the present petition.

The above fact is not denied by learned counsel for the petitioner, however, it is submitted that there is still some amount outstanding against the respondent against the recoveries made by the respondent from the salary of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent clarifies that the said alleged recoveries were never a part subject matter of the alleged contempt petition/Writ Petition.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition, the same is **disposed of** accordingly.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

July 31, 2025

Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No