



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(111)

CWP No. 6892 of 2025

Date of Decision : 12.03.2025

Atharv Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Varun Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate for respondent No. 3-UOI.

Mr. Prithpal Singh Nijjar, Advocate with
Mr. Viraj Gandhi, Advocate and
Mr. Adarsh K. Dubey, Advocate for respondents No. 5 and 6.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner being minor, who is being represented by his mother, sought admission in Bhavan Vidyalaya School situated in Sector-33D, Chandigarh under the alumni quota but the petitioner has not been given admission though, all the seats required to be filled under the alumni quota have not been filled up.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the mother of the minor petitioner has already submitted her representation to the Director Public Instructions, U.T., Chandigarh dated 21.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) but



the same is not being decided, which is causing prejudice hence, respondent No. 2 be directed to decide the said representation dated 21.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate and Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate, Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate and Mr. Prithpal Singh Nijjar, Advocate with Mr. Viraj Gandhi, Advocate and Mr. Adarsh K. Dubey, Advocate, appear and accept notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2, 3 and 5 and 6. Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2 submit that in case, the representation dated 21.01.2025 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of three weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

March 12, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No