



CWP-9443-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CWP-9443-2025

Date of Decision: 08.05.2025

Richa Rani

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate for the petitioner

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 16.12.2022, Annexure P-9, whereby the petitioner's services have been terminated for not possessing HTET Level-3 certificate, which is an essential qualification for the post of Para Teaching Associates (TGT Sanskrit) against which she was deployed vide offer letter dated 30.11.2022.

2. Learned counsel contends that there was no stipulation in the advertisement for the candidates to possess the qualification of HTET Level-3 and, accordingly, the petitioner's services could not have been terminated. Besides, under similar circumstances this Court, vide order dated 13.03.2023, passed in CWP-29118-2022 and connected petitions titled *Sumitra Devi and others v. State of Haryana and others*, has allowed other similarly placed candidates to continue in service. On parity, the petitioner is also entitled to the deployment.

**CWP-9443-2025****-2-**

3. Heard.

4. A perusal of the deployment order, dated 30.11.2022, establishes that it was for a period of one year. The petitioner's services were terminated on 16.12.2022, but she never approached this Court challenging the same. At this stage, when the maximum period of deployment is already over, her prayer cannot be granted. Besides, she cannot be given the benefit of *Sumitra Devi* case (*supra*) also, since the petitioners therein approached the Court within time.

5. In view thereof, there is no ground to entertain the petition, and it stands dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

08.05.2025*Payal*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No