



235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : 18.03.2025

1. RSA-5276-2014 (O&M)

Rakesh Kumar ...Appellant

Vs.

Joginder Kumar Singla and others ...Respondents

2. RSA-5611-2014 (O&M)

Rakesh Kumar ...Appellant

Vs.

Joginder Kumar Singla and another ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel representing the appellants submits that as per the information, the parties have entered into out-of-Court settlement, however, he submits that he has not been given any written instructions in this regard. He has produced an order passed by the Executing Court on 07.12.2019, wherein, it has been recorded that the parties have entered into a settlement.

2. The order passed on 07.12.2019, reads as under:-

"File taken up today as the matter has been



compromised between the parties. Both the parties have suffered their separate statements regarding the compromise which has been reduced into writing and the same is Ex. PAX and the decree holder has withdrawn the present execution. Heard. In view of the statements of the parties, present execution application is dismissed as withdrawn. The sale warrants are recalled back. File be consigned to the record room."

3. He submits that thereafter the appellant has stopped contacting him.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, both the appeals are disposed of with liberty to the appellant to file an application for revival if the facts as stated by his counsel are incorrect.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

18.03.2025

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No