



218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1315-2000 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.07.2025

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hissar and others ...Appellants

Versus

Amit Chand Khamija

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for the appellants.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present appeal is to the judgment dated 21.11.1995 vide which the suit filed by the respondent had been decreed. Challenge is also to the judgment dated 17.12.1999 vide which appeal filed by the present appellants was dismissed.

2. A perusal of the judgment of the trial Court would show that the case of the plaintiff was allowed by placing reliance upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as ***Dhan Singh Vs. State of Haryana***, reported as ***1991(1) RSJ 433*** and other judgments. Further perusal of the paper book would show that there is no interim order in favour of the appellants and even in spite of fresh notices having been issued, the respondent has also not been served.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of law laid down in ***Dhan Singh's*** case (Supra) and also in view of the fact that since there is no interim order, the relief would have also been released to the respondent and thus, the present appeal has been rendered infructuous and same may kindly be disposed of as such.

4. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

14.07.2025

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No