

224 (2nd case)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36215-2025

Date of decision: August 12, 2025

Ranbir Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**Present:** Mr. Ganesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner
(presence marked through video-conferencing).

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.167 dated 17.06.2025, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, Faridabad.

2. On 15.07.2025, the following order was passed:

“Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner and the complainant are real brothers, the genesis of the FIR in question is essentially a civil dispute & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Adjourned to 12.08.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 22.07.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”



3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from SI Vinod) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 15.07.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation, but his custodial interrogation is required for recovery of some documents.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Learned counsel has argued that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are serious in nature. He has submitted that in case, the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that the petitioner may abscond the trial and interfere in the prosecution evidence/ investigation.

5. Keeping in view the entirety of the factual milieu of the case; especially, the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is being sought for only for recovery of some documents, the interim order dated 15.07.2025 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.



9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

August 12, 2025
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No