



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**227-8**

**Decided on : 17.09.2025**

**1. LPA-1977-2023 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Appellants

Versus

M/S AGGARWAL OVERSEAS

... Respondents

**2. LPA-1806-2023 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Appellants

Versus

M/S KRISHNA AGRO INDUSTRIES AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

**3. LPA-1807-2023 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Appellants

Versus

M/S GURU KIRPA RICE AND AGRO INDUSTRIES

... Respondents

**4. LPA-502-2024 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Appellants

Versus

M/S BHAGWATI RICE AND GEN MILLS

... Respondents

**5. LPA-1978-2023 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Appellants

Versus

M/S MAA BHAGWATI RICE MILLS AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

**6. LPA-474-2024 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Appellants

Versus



**LPA-1977-2023 (O&M)**  
**and connected cases**

2

M/S JAI MAA MANSA RICE MILLS

. . . Respondents

**7. LPA-475-2024 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

. . Appellants

Versus

M/S KRISHNA INDUSTRIES

. . . Respondents

**8. LPA-501-2024 (O&M)**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

. . Appellants

Versus

M/S DAKSH RICE MILLS

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

PRESENT: Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vikram Preet Arora, Advocate  
 for respondent in LPA-501 & 502-2024.

Mr. K. K. Gupta, Advocate  
 for respondents-FCI.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate  
 for respondent in LPA-1806-2023.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate  
 for respondent in LPA-1807-2023.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Jawandha, Advocate  
 for the respondents in LPA-474-2024 & LPA-1977-2023.

Mr. Hitender Kansal, Advocate  
 for respondent No. 1 in LPA-1978-2023.

Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate  
 for respondents in LPA-1977-2023 & LPA-475-2024.

\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)**

1. Present bunch of appeals, the details of which are mentioned in the heading, involve common point of law and common set of facts, hence,



**LPA-1977-2023 (O&M)  
and connected cases**

3

they are being dealt together.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that while passing the order dated 12.10.2023 in CWP No. 23245 of 2023, the learned Single Judge did not take into consideration the order blacklisting certain firms, which was a condition to declare them “defaulter” so that not to allow them to participate in the milling policy, but, by efflux of time as, every year the allotment is to be made on the basis of the terms and conditions issued in the fresh policy, by keeping the question of law open, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s) including application for condonation of delay, if any, stand disposed of.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)  
JUDGE**

**17.09.2025**

*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*

*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*